arXiv:1606.02994v2 [math.PR] 8 Dec 2016

EVOLUTION OF THE WASSERSTEIN DISTANCE BETWEEN THE MARGINALS OF TWO MARKOV PROCESSES

AURÉLIEN ALFONSI, JACOPO CORBETTA AND BENJAMIN JOURDAIN

Abstract. In this paper, we are interested in the time derivative of the Wasserstein distance between the marginals of two Markov processes. As recalled in the introduction, the Kantorovich duality leads to a natural candidate for this derivative. Up to the sign, it is the sum of the integrals with respect to each of the two marginals of the corresponding generator applied to the corresponding Kantorovich potential. For pure jump processes with bounded intensity of jumps, we prove that the evolution of the Wasserstein distance is actually given by this candidate. In dimension one, we show that this remains true for Piecewise Deterministic Markov Processes. We apply the formula to estimate the exponential decrease rate of the Wasserstein distance between the marginals of two birth and death processes with the same generator in terms of the Wasserstein curvature.

Keywords : Wasserstein Distance, Optimal Transport, Pure Jump Markov Processes, Piecewise Deterministic Markov Processes, Birth and Death Processes.
AMS MSC 2010 : 60J75, 49K99

1. Introduction

The goal of this paper is to compute the time derivative of the ϱ-Wasserstein distance between the marginals of two Markov processes $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{\widetilde{X}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$. For $\varrho \geq 1$, the ϱ-Wasserstein distance between two probability measures $P, \widetilde{P}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is defined as

$$W_\varrho(P,\widetilde{P}) = \left(\inf_{\pi\in\Pi(P,\widetilde{P})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d} |x-y|^\varrho \pi(\mathrm{d}x,\mathrm{d}y) \right)^{1/\varrho} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Pi(P,\widetilde{P})$ is the set of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d$ with respective marginals P and $\widetilde{P}$. It is well known that there exists $\pi\in\Pi(P,\widetilde{P})$ such that $W_\varrho^\varrho(P,\widetilde{P}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d} |x-y|^\varrho \pi(\mathrm{d}x,\mathrm{d}y)$ (see for instance Theorem 3.3.11 of Rachev and Rüschendorf (1998)).

It is possible to prove (see for instance Theorem 5.10 of Villani (2008)) that:

$$W_\varrho^\varrho(P,\widetilde{P}) = \sup\left\{ -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x)P(\mathrm{d}x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{\phi}(y)\widetilde{P}(\mathrm{d}y) \right\} \tag{1.2}$$

where the supremum runs along all pairs $(\phi,\widetilde{\phi}) \in L^1(P)\times L^1(\widetilde{P})$ such that $\forall (x,y)\in \mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d$, $-\phi(x)-\widetilde{\phi}(y) \leq |x-y|^\varrho$. Moreover, according to Theorem 5.10 of Villani (2008), if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|x|^\varrho P(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|y|^\varrho\widetilde{P}(\mathrm{d}y) < +\infty$, there exists a couple $(\psi,\widetilde{\psi})\in L^1(P)\times L^1(\widetilde{P})$ of ϱ-convex functions such that $W_\varrho^\varrho(P,\widetilde{P}) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\psi(x)P(\mathrm{d}x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\widetilde{\psi}(y)\widetilde{P}(\mathrm{d}y)$ and one is the

Date: December 9, 2016.

Université Paris-Est, Cermics (ENPC), INRIA, F-77455 Marne-la-Vallée, France, e-mails : aurelien.alfonsi@enpc.fr, jacopo.corbetta@enpc.fr, benjamin.jourdain@enpc.fr. This research benefited from the support of the "Chaire Risques Financiers", Fondation du Risque, the French National Research Agency under the program ANR-12-BS01-0019 (STAB).

ϱ-transform of the other, i.e.

$$\psi(x) = -\inf_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d}\left\{|x-y|^\varrho + \widetilde{\psi}(y)\right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\psi}(y) = -\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\left\{|x-y|^\varrho + \psi(x)\right\}. \tag{1.3}$$

These functions ψ and $\widetilde{\psi}$ are called Kantorovich potentials. For an optimal coupling π (which is unique when $\varrho > 1$ according to Theorem 6.2.4 of Ambrosio et al.. (2008)), since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d} -(\psi(x)+\widetilde{\psi}(y))\pi(\mathrm{d}x,\mathrm{d}y) = W_\varrho^\varrho(P,\widetilde{P}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}|x-y|^\varrho\pi(\mathrm{d}x,\mathrm{d}y)$ and $-(\psi(x)+\widetilde{\psi}(y)) \le |x-y|^\varrho$, we necessarily have

$$\pi(\mathrm{d}x,\mathrm{d}y) \text{ a.e.}, -\psi(x) - \widetilde{\psi}(y) = |x-y|^\varrho. \tag{1.4}$$

In dimension $d = 1$, an optimal coupling π is the probability law of $(F^{-1}(U), \widetilde{F}^{-1}(U))$ where $F(x) = P((-\infty, x])$ and $\widetilde{F} = \widetilde{P}((-\infty, x])$ are respectively the cumulative distribution functions of P and $\widetilde{P}$, $F^{-1}(u) = \inf\{x\in\mathbb{R}, F(x) > u\}$ and $\widetilde{F}^{-1}(u) = \inf\{x\in\mathbb{R}, \widetilde{F}(x) > u\}$ their right-continuous pseudo-inverse, and U is a uniform random variable on $[0,1]$ (see Theorem 3.1.2 of Rachev and Rüschendorf (1998)). When F is continuous and $\varrho > 1$, we explicit a pair of Kantorovich potentials. Let us define

$$\psi(x) = \varrho\int_0^x |T(x')-x'|^{\varrho-2}(T(x')-x')\mathrm{d}x',\ T(x) = \widetilde{F}^{-1}(F(x)). \tag{1.5}$$

and $\widetilde{\psi}(y) = -\inf\{|x-y|^\varrho + \psi(x)\}$. Since $r\mapsto \varrho|r|^{\varrho-2}r$ and $x\mapsto T(x)$ are nondecreasing, we have for $x, z\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x) - \psi(z) &= \varrho\int_z^x |T(x')-x'|^{\varrho-2}(T(x')-x')\mathrm{d}x' \\ &\le \varrho\int_z^x |T(x)-x'|^{\varrho-2}(T(x)-x')\mathrm{d}x' = |T(x)-z|^\varrho - |T(x)-x|^\varrho.\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\psi(x) + |T(x)-x|^\varrho = \inf_{z\in\mathbb{R}}\{\psi(z) + |T(x)-z|^\varrho\} = -\widetilde{\psi}(T(x)). \tag{1.6}$$

Thus, $\pi(\mathrm{d}x,\mathrm{d}y) = P(\mathrm{d}x)\delta_{T(x)}(\mathrm{d}y)$ is the optimal coupling and T is called the optimal transport map.

Let us now consider two $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued Markov processes $\{X_t\}_{t\ge0}$ and $\{\widetilde{X}_t\}_{t\ge0}$ with respective infinitesimal generators L and $\widetilde{L}$ such that $\forall t\ge0$, $\mathbb{E}[|X_t|^\varrho + |\widetilde{X}_t|^\varrho] < \infty$. For $t\ge0$, the $\varrho-$Wasserstein distance between the law P_t of X_t and the law $\widetilde{P}_t$ of $\widetilde{X}_t$ is given by

$$W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t,\widetilde{P}_t) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\psi_t(x)P_t(\mathrm{d}x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\widetilde{\psi}_t(y)\widetilde{P}_t(\mathrm{d}y)\,,$$

where $\psi_t, \widetilde{\psi}_t$ are Kantorovich potentials associated with $(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t)$.

The above duality gives a very natural candidate for the time derivative of $t\mapsto W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t,\widetilde{P}_t)$. Indeed, for $t > 0$, let us assume that $(\psi_t, \widetilde{\psi}_t)\in L^1(P_s)\times L^1(\widetilde{P}_s)$ for $s\in(t-\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, we have

$$W_\varrho^\varrho(P_s,\widetilde{P}_s) \ge -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\psi_t(x)\,P_s(\mathrm{d}x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\widetilde{\psi}_t(x)\,\widetilde{P}_s(\mathrm{d}x).$$

For $h > 0$, choosing $s = t + h$ then (when $t \geq h$) $s = t - h$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{h}\left(W_\varrho^\varrho(P_{t+h}, \widetilde{P}_{t+h}) - W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t)\right) \geq & -\frac{1}{h}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_t(x)(P_{t+h}(\mathrm{d}x) - P_t(\mathrm{d}x)) \\ & -\frac{1}{h}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{\psi}_t(x)(\widetilde{P}_{t+h}(\mathrm{d}x) - \widetilde{P}_t(\mathrm{d}x)), \\ \frac{1}{h}\left(W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) - W_\varrho^\varrho(P_{t-h}, \widetilde{P}_{t-h})\right) \leq & -\frac{1}{h}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_t(x)(P_t(\mathrm{d}x) - P_{t-h}(\mathrm{d}x)) \\ & -\frac{1}{h}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{\psi}_t(x)(\widetilde{P}_t(\mathrm{d}x) - \widetilde{P}_{t-h}(\mathrm{d}x)),\end{aligned}$$

If ψ_t and $\widetilde{\psi}_t$ are respectively in the domains of the generators L and $\widetilde{L}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_t(x)(P_t(\mathrm{d}x) - P_s(\mathrm{d}x)) &= \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x) P_r(\mathrm{d}x)\mathrm{d}r \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{\psi}_t(x)(\widetilde{P}_t(\mathrm{d}x) - \widetilde{P}_s(\mathrm{d}x)) &= \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{L}\widetilde{\psi}_t(x) \widetilde{P}_r(\mathrm{d}x)\mathrm{d}r.\end{aligned}$$

Plugging these equalities for $s = t + h$ and $s = t - h$ in the previous inequalities and letting $h \to 0$, leads, under continuity (resp. differentiability) at time t of $r \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x)P_r(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{L}\widetilde{\psi}_t(x)\widetilde{P}_r(\mathrm{d}x)$ (resp. $r \mapsto W_\varrho^\varrho(P_r, \widetilde{P}_r)$), to

$$\frac{d}{dt} W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x) P_t(\mathrm{d}x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{L}\widetilde{\psi}_t(x)\widetilde{P}_t(\mathrm{d}x). \tag{1.7}$$

In the present paper, we are interested in the slightly weaker integral formula : $\forall 0 \leq s \leq t$,

$$W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) - W_\varrho^\varrho(P_s, \widetilde{P}_s) = -\int_s^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_r(x) P_r(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{L}\widetilde{\psi}_r(x)\widetilde{P}_r(\mathrm{d}x)\right)\mathrm{d}r. \tag{1.8}$$

We will prove that it holds when $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{\widetilde{X}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ are pure jump Markov processes with bounded intensity of jumps and such that $t \mapsto \mathbb{E}[|X_t|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)} + |\widetilde{X}_t|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}]$ is locally bounded for some $\varepsilon > 0$. The interest of this result is reinforced by the fact that (like in the proof of the Hille-Yoshida theorem) one can approximate any Markov process using a sequence of pure jump Markov processes with increasing jump intensity. Using this approximation procedure, we check that (1.8) still holds for one-dimensional Piecewise Deterministic Markov Processes evolving according to an ordinary differential equation between jumps with finite intensity. Even if the case of deterministic processes evolving according to time-dependent ordinary differential equations is covered by Ambrosio et al.. (2008) (see in particular Theorem 8.4.7), it is not so easy to mix jumps and ODEs. That is why our derivation of (1.6) for PDMPs is restricted to the one-dimensional setting where we can take advantage of the explicit knowledge of the optimal transport map. For diffusion processes, the Euler-Maruyama discretization scheme provides another approximation procedure considered in Alfonsi et al. (2015). The interest of an exact formula for the time derivative goes beyond the issue of controlling the Wasserstein stability of a single Markov process, a topic that has been the object of intensive research in the recent years, especially in the diffusion case. Such a formula can also be used to analyze approximation procedures like the Euler-Maruyama discretization scheme studied in Alfonsi et al. (2014, 2015). Concerning the Wasserstein stability of Markov semi-groups, many authors have studied the

Wasserstein contraction property, namely the existence of constants $\kappa, C > 0$ such that

$$\forall P_0, \widetilde{P}_0 \text{ s.t. } \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^\varrho (P_0 + \widetilde{P}_0)(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty,\ \forall t \geq 0,\ W_\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) \leq C e^{-\kappa t} W_\varrho(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0). \quad (1.9)$$

For the heat semi-group on a smooth Riemannian manifold, von Renesse and Sturm (2005) proved that the fact the Ricci curvature of the manifold is bounded from below by κ is equivalent to (1.9) with $C = 1$ for all $\varrho \geq 1$ or even a single $\varrho \geq 1$. Concerning stochastic differential equations with additive Brownian noise

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = \mathrm{d}W_t + b(X_t)\mathrm{d}t, \quad (1.10)$$

the Wasserstein contraction with $C = 1$ for one or all $\varrho \geq 1$ is equivalent to the monotonicity property $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,\ (x-y).(b(x)-b(y)) \leq -\kappa|x-y|^2$. To check the sufficiency, it is enough to compute $|X_t - \widetilde{X}_t|^\varrho$ by the Itô formula where $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ and $(\widetilde{X}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ are two solutions driven by the same Brownian motion (synchronous coupling) and with respective initial marginals P_0 and $\widetilde{P}_0$. Recently, considering $W_f(P, \widetilde{P}) = \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(P,\widetilde{P})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(|x-y|)\pi(\mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}y)$ for a well chosen increasing concave function f and using the reflection coupling, Eberle (2016) was able to prove the exponential decay of $W_f(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t)$ and deduce (1.9) with $\varrho = 1$ and $C > 1$ for drift functions b satisfying the monotonicity property only outside some ball. In this setting, the restriction of the Wassertein contraction property with $C = 1$ and $\varrho = 2$ to the case when $\widetilde{P}_0$ is the invariant probability measure of the SDE had been proved by Bolley et al. (2012) by some estimation closely related to (1.7) (see also Bolley et al. (2013) for an extension to a class of SDEs nonlinear in the sense of McKean). The W_1 contraction by Eberle (2016) was extended to

$$\forall \varrho \geq 1,\ \forall t \geq 0,\ \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,\ W_\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) \leq C e^{-\lambda t/\varrho}(|x-y|^{1/\varrho} 1_{\{|x-y|<1\}} + |x-y| 1_{\{|x-y|\geq 1\}}) \quad (1.11)$$

when $(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0) = (\delta_x, \delta_y)$ by Luo and Wang J. (2016a) for SDEs with additive Brownian noise and by Wang J. (2016) when $\mathrm{d}W_t$ is replaced by the infinitesimal increment of a Lévy process with Lévy measure larger than the one of a symmetric stable process. In the case of a pure jump Lévy noise, Luo and Wang J. (2016b) proved the Wassertein contraction with $\varrho = 1$ under some Doeblin condition on the translations of the Lévy measure local in the translation parameter. The Wassertein distance W_f with $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ had already been used by Bardet et al. (2013) to prove Wasserstein contraction for the piecewise deterministic TCP Markov process. Recently, Wang F.-Y. (2016) obtained Wasserstein contraction with $C > 1$ for a class of diffusion semi-groups generated by weighted Laplacians on Riemannian manifolds with negative curvature and for related stochastic differential equations with multiplicative noise.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some results on the integrability properties of the Kantorovich potentials and in the one-dimensional case ($d = 1$) of the translated optimal transport map. In Section 3, we state the main result, namely formula (1.8) for the evolution of the Wasserstein distance between the marginals of two pure jump Markov processes. In Section 4, we apply this formula to estimate the Wasserstein distance between the marginals of two birth and death processes with the same generator and obtain (1.11) with λ equal to the Wasserstein curvature introduced in Joulin (2007) (see also Joulin (2009) and Chafaï and Joulin (2013)) to deal with the case $\varrho = 1$. The proof of the main result relies on integrability properties with respect to the marginal laws

of pure jump processes derived in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6, we extend the previous results to one-dimensional Piecewise Deterministic Markov Processes using an approximation method.

2. Integrability properties of the Kantorovich potentials and the translated optimal transport map

We first check that when the probability measures P and $\widetilde{P}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ have finite moments of order higher than ϱ then these integrability properties are transmitted to any pair $(\psi, \widetilde{\psi})$ of Kantorovich potentials associated with $W_\varrho(P, \widetilde{P})$. To get rid of the undetermined additive constant in the definition of ψ and $\widetilde{\psi}$, we introduce an appropriate generalization of the variance :

Definition 2.1. *For $q \geq 1$, P a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\varphi \in L^1(P)$, let*

$$\mathcal{V}_P^q(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi(x) - P(\varphi)|^q P(\mathrm{d}x) \text{ where } P(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) P(\mathrm{d}x).$$

Notice that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi(x)|^q P(\mathrm{d}x) \leq 2^{q-1} \left(|P(\varphi)|^q + \mathcal{V}_P^q(\varphi) \right)$ and $\mathcal{V}_P^2(\varphi)$ is simply the variance of φ under the probability measure P.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $P, \widetilde{P}$ be two probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)} P(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)} \widetilde{P}(\mathrm{d}y) < \infty$ for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and let $(\psi, \widetilde{\psi})$ be a pair of Kantorovich potentials associated with $W_\varrho(P, \widetilde{P})$. Then $(\psi, \widetilde{\psi}) \in L^{1+\varepsilon}(P) \times L^{1+\varepsilon}(\widetilde{P})$ and*

$$\max\left(\mathcal{V}_P^{1+\varepsilon}(\psi), \mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{P}}^{1+\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\psi}) \right) \leq 2^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)} P(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)} \widetilde{P}(\mathrm{d}y) \right).$$

Proof. Let $\pi \in \Pi(P, \widetilde{P})$ be such that $W_\varrho^\varrho(P, \widetilde{P}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |x - y|^\varrho \pi(\mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}y)$. Using (1.4) for the first and third inequalities and (1.3) for the second and fourth ones, we obtain that $\pi(\mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}y)\pi(\mathrm{d}z, \mathrm{d}w)$ a.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(x) - \psi(z) &\leq \psi(x) - \psi(z) - \psi(x) - \widetilde{\psi}(y) = -\psi(z) - \widetilde{\psi}(y) \leq |z - y|^\varrho \\
\psi(z) - \psi(x) &\leq \psi(z) - \psi(x) - \psi(z) - \widetilde{\psi}(w) = -\psi(x) - \widetilde{\psi}(w) \leq |x - w|^\varrho.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get

$$\pi(\mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}y)\pi(\mathrm{d}z, \mathrm{d}w) \text{ a.e., } |\psi(x) - \psi(z)|^{1+\varepsilon} \leq \max(|z - y|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}, |x - w|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}). \tag{2.1}$$

Integrating this inequality with respect to $\pi(\mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}y)\pi(\mathrm{d}z, \mathrm{d}w)$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\psi(x) - \psi(z)|^{1+\varepsilon} P(\mathrm{d}x) P(\mathrm{d}z) \\
&\quad \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \left(|z - y|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)} + |x - w|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)} \right) \pi(\mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}y)\pi(\mathrm{d}z, \mathrm{d}w) \\
&\quad \leq 2^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \left(|z|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)} + |y|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)} + |x|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)} + |w|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)} \right) \pi(\mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}y)\pi(\mathrm{d}z, \mathrm{d}w) \\
&\quad = 2^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)} P(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)} \widetilde{P}(\mathrm{d}y) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The moment $\mathcal{V}_P^{1+\varepsilon}(\psi)$ is smaller than the left-hand side and therefore than the right-hand side, since, by Jensen's inequality, $|\psi(x) - P(\psi)|^{1+\varepsilon} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\psi(x) - \psi(z)|^{1+\varepsilon} P(dz)$. By symmetry, the same upper-bound holds for $\mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{P}}^{1+\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\psi})$. □

Now, we focus on the integrability of the translated transport map. This is an important technical point to give a sense to (1.8) when the Markov process has jumps. Precisely, if $k(x,\mathrm{d}z)$ denotes the jump kernel, we have to check the integrability of $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\psi(z)-\psi(x)|^\varrho P(\mathrm{d}x)k(x,\mathrm{d}z)$, where P is any time marginal of the process. For pure jump processes, we will be able to do this directly by taking advantage of the specific decomposition (5.1) of the time marginals. For PDMP, this is no longer possible and we typically would like to upper bound $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\psi(x-y)-\psi(x)|^\varrho P(\mathrm{d}x)$ for different values of $y\in\mathbb{R}^d$. In dimension 1, this problem boils down from (1.5) and the monotonicity of $z\mapsto T(z)$ to bound $\varrho|y|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\max(|T(x-y)|^{\varrho-1},|T(x)|^{\varrho-1})P(\mathrm{d}x)$ from above. In view of the expression of the optimal transport map T, the finiteness of $\int_{\mathbb{R}}|T(x-y)|^{\varrho-1}P(\mathrm{d}x)$ is a condition intricately mixing the tails of P and $\widetilde{P}$. In the next proposition, we obtain a less intricate upper bound for this integral.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X and $\widetilde{X}$ be two real random variables distributed according to P and $\widetilde{P}$. We note F and $\widetilde{F}$ the corresponding cumulative distribution functions, F^{-1} and $\widetilde{F}^{-1}$ their right-continuous pseudo-inverse. We assume that F is continuous and consider $T(x)=\widetilde{F}^{-1}(F(x))$ the optimal transport map given by (1.5). We assume that for a given $y>0$, there is a function $\varphi_y\in L^1([0,1],\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that*

$$\forall u\in(0,1), F(F^{-1}(u)+y)-u\le\int_0^u\varphi_y(v)\mathrm{d}v. \tag{2.2}$$

Then, for any $q>0$, $\delta\in[0,+\infty]$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}|T(x-y)|^qP(\mathrm{d}x)\le\mathbb{E}(|\widetilde{X}|^q)+\||\widetilde{X}|^q\|_{1+\frac{1}{\delta}}\|\varphi_y(U)\|_{1+\delta}, \tag{2.3}$$

where U is a uniform random variable on $[0,1]$, and the convention $1/0=+\infty$, $1/+\infty=0$.

In (2.3), we use the standard definition $\|Y\|_\alpha=\mathbb{E}[|Y|^\alpha]^{1/\alpha}$ for $\alpha>0$ and $\|Y\|_\infty=\inf\{m>0,\mathbb{P}(|Y|\le m)=1\}$ for a real valued random variable Y. Let us stress here that each side of (2.3) may be equal to $+\infty$, but the inequality always holds. The key assumption (2.2) implies in particular that $F^{-1}(0^+):=\lim_{u\to0^+}F^{-1}(u)=-\infty$. If this was not the case, we would have $F(F^{-1}(0^+)+y)=0$ and thus $F^{-1}(0^+)\ge F^{-1}(0^+)+y$ which is contradictory. Last, let us mention that assuming $\varphi_y\ge0$ is not restrictive: if (2.2) is satisfied by $\varphi_y\in L^1([0,1],\mathbb{R})$, it is then also satisfied by $\max(0,\varphi_y)\in L^1([0,1],\mathbb{R}_+)$. If X has a positive density $p(x)$ (i.e. $P(\mathrm{d}x)=p(x)\mathrm{d}x$), a natural choice is $\varphi_y(u)=\max\left(\frac{p(F^{-1}(u)+y)}{p(F^{-1}(u))}-1,0\right)$. Heuristically, condition (2.2) is satisfied when $p(x)$ has an heavy left- tail. For the exponential density on $\mathbb{R}_-$ $p(x)=1_{\{x<0\}}\lambda e^{\lambda x}$ with $\lambda>0$, $\max\left(\frac{p(F^{-1}(u)+y)}{p(F^{-1}(u))}-1,0\right)=(e^{\lambda y}-1)1_{\{\frac{1}{\lambda}\log(u)+y<0\}}$ is bounded. For $p(x)=1_{\{x<-1\}}\frac{\alpha-1}{|x|^\alpha}$ with $\alpha>1$, $\varphi_y(u)=1_{\{1-yu^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}>u^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}\}}(1-yu^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}})^{-\alpha}-1\le(1+y)^\alpha-1$ is again bounded.

Proof. Since T is a nondecreasing and $y>0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|T(x-y)|^q&\le|T(x-y)|^q1_{\{T(x-y)<0\}}+|T(x)|^q1_{\{T(x-y)\ge0\}}=(|T(x-y)|^q-|T(x)|^q)1_{\{T(x-y)<0\}}+|T(x)|^q\\&\le(|T(x-y)|^q-|\min(T(x),0)|^q)1_{\{T(x-y)<0\}}+|T(x)|^q.\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Let $x_0=\sup\{x\in\mathbb{R},T(x)<0\}$ with convention $\sup\emptyset=-\infty$. We note $H_q(z)=-(-\min(T(z),0))^q$. This is a nondecreasing right-continuous function that induces a measure denoted by

$\mathrm{d}H_q(z)$. We have

$$(|T(x-y)|^q - |\min(T(x),0)|^q)1_{\{T(x-y)<0\}} = (H_q(x) - H_q(x-y))1_{\{T(x-y)<0\}} \\ = 1_{\{T(x-y)<0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{\{x-y<z\leq x\}} \mathrm{d}H_q(z).$$

We note that $\{x-y<x_0\} \subset \{T(x-y)<0\} \subset \{x-y\leq x_0\}$. Since F is continuous, and using the Fubini-Tonelli theorem, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|T(x-y)|^q - |\min(T(x),0)|^q)1_{\{T(x-y)<0\}} P(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{\{x-y<x_0\}} 1_{\{x-y<z\leq x\}} P(\mathrm{d}x)\mathrm{d}H_q(z) \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(\min(x_0,z)+y) - F(z)\mathrm{d}H_q(z) \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{\{z\leq x_0\}}(F(z+y)-F(z))\mathrm{d}H_q(z),$$

since $\mathrm{d}H_q((x_0,+\infty)) = 0$. We define $\bar{H}_q(u) = -(-\min(\widetilde{F}^{-1}(u),0))^q$ for $u\in(0,1)$ and $\mathrm{d}\bar{H}_q(u)$ the corresponding measure on $(0,1)$. By applying a change of variables in the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral (see e.g. Proposition 4.10 p. 9 of Revuz and Yor (1999)), we obtain since $z\leq F^{-1}(F(z))$ and $\{z\leq x_0\}\subset\{F(z)\leq F(x_0)\}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{\{z\leq x_0\}}(F(z+y)-F(z))\mathrm{d}H_q(z) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{\{F(z)\leq F(x_0)\}}(F(F^{-1}(F(z))+y)-F(z))\mathrm{d}H_q(z) \\ = \int_0^1 1_{\{u\leq F(x_0)\}}(F(F^{-1}(u)+y)-u)\mathrm{d}\bar{H}_q(u) \\ \leq \int_0^1 1_{\{u\leq F(x_0)\}} \int_0^u \varphi_y(v)\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}\bar{H}_q(u).$$

Since $\varphi_y\geq 0$, we have by Fubini-Tonelli theorem that

$$\int_0^1\int_0^1 1_{\{u\leq F(x_0)\}}1_{\{0<v<u<1\}}\varphi_y(v)\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}\bar{H}_q(u) = \int_0^1\int_0^1 1_{\{v<u\leq F(x_0)\}}\mathrm{d}\bar{H}_q(u)\varphi_y(v)\mathrm{d}v \\ = \int_0^1 (\bar{H}_q(F(x_0)) - \bar{H}_q(v))^+\varphi_y(v)\mathrm{d}v \\ = \int_0^{F(x_0)} |\widetilde{F}^{-1}(v)|^q\varphi_y(v)\mathrm{d}v \leq \||\widetilde{X}|^q\|_{1+\frac{1}{\delta}}\|\varphi_y(U)\|_{1+\delta},$$

by using $\bar{H}_q(F(x_0))=0$ and Hölder's inequality. □

Remark 2.4. *Let us assume F to be continuous. In the particular case where $\widetilde{X}$ is bounded from below (i.e. $\widetilde{F}^{-1}(0^+)>-\infty$), we get from the first inequality in* (2.4) *that $|T(x-y)|^q \leq |\widetilde{F}^{-1}(0^+)|^q + |T(x)|^q$, and therefore*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |T(x-y)|^q P(\mathrm{d}x) \leq |\widetilde{F}^{-1}(0^+)|^q + \mathbb{E}(|\widetilde{X}|^q).$$

3. Evolution of the Wasserstein distance between two pure jump Markov processes

Let $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{\widetilde{X}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be pure jump $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued Markov processes with respective marginal laws $\{P_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{\widetilde{P}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and infinitesimal generators given by

$$Lf(x) = \lambda(x)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x,\mathrm{d}y)\,(f(y)-f(x))\right) \tag{3.1}$$

$$\widetilde{L}f(x) = \widetilde{\lambda}(x)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{k}(x,\mathrm{d}y)\,(f(y)-f(x))\right). \tag{3.2}$$

The jump intensities λ and $\widetilde{\lambda} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_+$ are measurable functions and k and $\widetilde{k}$ are Markov kernels (for all Borel subset B of $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbb{R}^d \ni x \mapsto k(x,B)$ is measurable and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $k(x,.)$ is a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ endowed with its Borel sigma-field). To ease the trajectorial interpretation of (λ,k) and avoid fake jumps, we suppose that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\lambda(x)k(x,\{x\}) = 0$. This is not restrictive since this condition may be achieved without modifying the generator L by replacing $\lambda(x)$ by $\lambda(x)(1-k(x,\{x\}))$ and $k(x,.)$ by $k(x,.\cap\{x\}^c)/(1-k(x,\{x\}))$.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that $\bar{\lambda} := \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\max(\lambda(x),\widetilde{\lambda}(x)) < \infty$ and that $t \mapsto \mathbb{E}[|X_t|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)} + |\widetilde{X}_t|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}]$ is locally bounded for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Let for each $t \geq 0$, $(\psi_t, \widetilde{\psi}_t)$ be a pair of Kantorovich potentials associated with $W_\varrho(P_t,\widetilde{P}_t)$. Then $t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|L\psi_t(x)|P_t(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\widetilde{L}\,\widetilde{\psi}_t(x)|\widetilde{P}_t(\mathrm{d}x)$ is locally bounded on $(0,+\infty)$, $t \mapsto W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t,\widetilde{P}_t)$ is locally Lipschitz on $(0,+\infty)$ and for almost any $t \in (0,\infty)$*

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t,\widetilde{P}_t) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x)P_t(\mathrm{d}x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\widetilde{L}\,\widetilde{\psi}_t(x)\widetilde{P}_t(\mathrm{d}x)\,. \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover, $t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|L\psi_t(x)|P_t(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|L\widetilde{\psi}_t(x)|\widetilde{P}_t(\mathrm{d}x)$ is locally integrable on $[0,\infty)$ and for every $t \geq 0$ the following integral formula holds true

$$W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t,\widetilde{P}_t) = W_\varrho^\varrho(P_0,\widetilde{P}_0) - \int_0^t\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_r(x)P_r(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\widetilde{L}\,\widetilde{\psi}_r(x)\widetilde{P}_r(\mathrm{d}x)\right]\mathrm{d}r\,. \tag{3.4}$$

Remark 3.2. *According to Lemma 5.5 below the finiteness of*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|x|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}(P_0(\mathrm{d}x)+\widetilde{P}_0(\mathrm{d}x)) + \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(k(x,\mathrm{d}y)+\widetilde{k}(x,\mathrm{d}y))|y-x|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}$$

is sufficient to ensure that $t \mapsto \mathbb{E}[|X_t|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)} + |\widetilde{X}_t|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}]$ is locally bounded.

The next proposition, the proof of which is postponed to Section 5, and the next lemma respectively state the integrability properties and the integral formula for $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)P_t(\mathrm{d}x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)P_s(\mathrm{d}x)$ needed to establish Theorem 3.1.

Proposition 3.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, for $\delta \in [0,\varepsilon)$,*

$$(t,r) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\psi_t(x) - P_t(\psi_t)|^{1+\delta}P_r(\mathrm{d}x) \text{ is locally bounded on } (0,+\infty)\times[0,+\infty),$$

$$(t,r) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\lambda(x)k(x,\mathrm{d}y)|\psi_t(y)-\psi_t(x)|\right)^{1+\delta}P_r(\mathrm{d}x) \text{ is locally bounded on } (0,+\infty)^2,$$

$$t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\lambda(x)k(x,\mathrm{d}y)|\psi_t(y)-\psi_t(x)|P_t(\mathrm{d}x) \text{ is locally integrable on } [0,+\infty).$$

Remark 3.4. *For $r > 0$, P_0 is absolutely continuous with respect to P_r (see (5.1) below) but, in general, P_r is not absolutely continuous with respect to P_0 so that the finiteness of $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\psi_0(x) - P_0(\psi_0)|^{1+\delta} P_r(\mathrm{d}x)$ is not guaranted.*

Lemma 3.5. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be a measurable function. If for $0 \leq s \leq t$*

$$\int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \lambda(x)|f(y) - f(x)|k(x, \mathrm{d}y)P_r(\mathrm{d}x)\mathrm{d}r < +\infty \tag{3.5}$$

then $f(X_t) - f(X_s)$ is integrable and

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X_t) - f(X_s)] = \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Lf(x)P_r(\mathrm{d}x)\mathrm{d}r \tag{3.6}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.5. We can represent the process $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ as

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_{(0,t]\times[0,1]\times[0,1]} 1_{\{\lambda(X_{r-})\geq \bar{\lambda}u\}} \left(\varphi(X_{r-}, v) - X_{r-}\right) N(\mathrm{d}r, \mathrm{d}u, \mathrm{d}v) \tag{3.7}$$

where N is a Poisson measure of intensity $\bar{\lambda}\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v$ on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times [0,1] \times [0,1]$ and for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the image of the uniform law on $[0,1]$ by $v \mapsto \varphi(x, v)$ is $k(x, .)$.

In particular we have for every measurable function f

$$f(X_w) = f(X_s) + \int_{(s,w]\times[0,1]\times[0,1]} 1_{\{\lambda(X_{r-})\geq \bar{\lambda}u\}} \left(f(\varphi(X_{r-}, v)) - f(X_{r-})\right) N(\mathrm{d}r, \mathrm{d}u, \mathrm{d}v) \tag{3.8}$$

and it is a well-known fact (see Ikeda and Watanabe (1989) p62) that if

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{(s,t]\times[0,1]\times[0,1]} 1_{\{\lambda(X_{r-})\geq \bar{\lambda}u\}} \left|f(\varphi(X_{r-}, v)) - f(X_{r-})\right| \bar{\lambda}\,\mathrm{d}r\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}v\right] < \infty \tag{3.9}$$

then the process

$$M_w = \int_{(s,w]\times[0,1]\times[0,1]} 1_{\{\lambda(X_{r-})\geq \bar{\lambda}u\}} \left(f(\varphi(X_{r-}, v)) - f(X_{r-})\right) \left(N(\mathrm{d}r, \mathrm{d}u, \mathrm{d}v) - \bar{\lambda}\,\mathrm{d}r\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}v\right) \tag{3.10}$$

is a centered martingale on $(s, t]$ and in particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[f(X_t) - f(X_s)] &= \mathbb{E}[M_t] + \int_s^t \mathbb{E}\left[\bar{\lambda}\int_0^1\int_0^1 1_{\{\lambda(X_r)\geq \bar{\lambda}u\}} \left(f(\varphi(X_r, v)) - f(X_r)\right) \mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}v\right] \mathrm{d}r \\
&= 0 + \int_s^t \mathbb{E}\left[\lambda(X_r)\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(X_r, \mathrm{d}y)(f(y) - f(X_r))\right] \mathrm{d}r \\
&= \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Lf(x)P_r(\mathrm{d}x)\mathrm{d}r.
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude the proof by remarking that, by a similar computation, the left-hand side of (3.9) is equal to $\int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \lambda(x)|f(y) - f(x)|k(x, \mathrm{d}y)P_r(\mathrm{d}x)\mathrm{d}r$. □

We are now ready to prove the theorem by making the formal proof given in the introduction rigorous.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $t > 0$ and $h > 0$. Since, by Proposition 3.3, $(\psi_t, \widetilde{\psi}_t) \in L^1(P_{t+h}) \times L^1(\widetilde{P}_{t+h})$, one has

$$\frac{1}{h}\left(W_\varrho^\varrho(P_{t+h}, \widetilde{P}_{t+h}) - W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t)\right) \geq -\frac{1}{h}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_t(x)(P_{t+h}(\mathrm{d}x) - P_t(\mathrm{d}x)) \\ -\frac{1}{h}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{\psi}_t(x)(\widetilde{P}_{t+h}(\mathrm{d}x) - \widetilde{P}_t(\mathrm{d}x)),$$

In the same way, for $h < t$, $(\psi_t, \widetilde{\psi}_t) \in L^1(P_{t-h}) \times L^1(\widetilde{P}_{t-h})$ and

$$\frac{1}{h}\left(W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) - W_\varrho^\varrho(P_{t-h}, \widetilde{P}_{t-h})\right) \leq -\frac{1}{h}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_t(x)(P_t(\mathrm{d}x) - P_{t-h}(\mathrm{d}x)) \\ -\frac{1}{h}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{\psi}_t(x)(\widetilde{P}_t(\mathrm{d}x) - \widetilde{P}_{t-h}(\mathrm{d}x)).$$

Since by Proposition 3.3, $r \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lambda(x)|\psi_t(y) - \psi_t(x)|k(x, \mathrm{d}y)P_t(\mathrm{d}x)$ is locally bounded on $(0, +\infty)$, Lemma 3.5 permits to transform the right-hand sides of the previous inequalities to obtain :

$$\frac{1}{h}\left(W_\varrho^\varrho(P_{t+h}, \widetilde{P}_{t+h}) - W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t)\right) \geq -\frac{1}{h}\int_t^{t+h}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x)P_r(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{L}\widetilde{\psi}_t(x)\widetilde{P}_r(\mathrm{d}x)\right)\mathrm{d}r$$
$$\frac{1}{h}\left(W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) - W_\varrho^\varrho(P_{t-h}, \widetilde{P}_{t-h})\right) \leq -\frac{1}{h}\int_{t-h}^{t}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x)P_r(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{L}\widetilde{\psi}_t(x)\widetilde{P}_r(\mathrm{d}x)\right)\mathrm{d}r.$$

Since, again by Proposition 3.3, $(t, r) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |L\psi_t(x)|^{1+\delta}P_r(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widetilde{L}\widetilde{\psi}_t(x)|^{1+\delta}\widetilde{P}_r(\mathrm{d}x)$ is locally bounded on $(0, +\infty)\times(0, +\infty)$, one deduces that $s \mapsto W_\varrho^\varrho(P_s, \widetilde{P}_s)$ is locally Lipschitz and therefore $\mathrm{d}s$ a.e. differentiable on $(0, +\infty)$. If it is differentiable at t, the equality

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x)P_t(\mathrm{d}x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{L}\,\widetilde{\psi}_t(x)\widetilde{P}_t(\mathrm{d}x)$$

is obtained by taking the limit $h \to 0$ in the above inequalities once we have checked that $r \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x)P_r(\mathrm{d}x)$ and $r \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{L}\widetilde{\psi}_t(x)\widetilde{P}_r(\mathrm{d}x)$ are continuous at t. It is enough to check continuity of the first function since the same arguments apply to the second one and we first deal with the right continuity.

For $r \geq t$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_+$ measurable,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X_r)1_{\{\forall s\in[t,r], X_s = X_t\}}] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)e^{-\lambda(x)(r-t)}P_t(\mathrm{d}x).$$

Hence the measure $Q_{(t,r)}(\mathrm{d}x) = P_r(\mathrm{d}x) - e^{-\lambda(x)(r-t)}P_t(\mathrm{d}x)$ is non-negative. Writing

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x)P_r(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x)e^{-\lambda(x)(r-t)}P_t(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x)Q_{(t,r)}(\mathrm{d}x)$$

we observe that, by dominated convergence, the first integral in the right-hand side converges to $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x)P_t(\mathrm{d}x)$ as $r \to t$. By Hölder's inequality and since

$$Q_{(t,r)}(\mathbb{R}^d) = 1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\lambda(x)(r-t)}P_t(\mathrm{d}x) \leq 1 - e^{-\bar{\lambda}(r-t)},$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|L\psi_t(x)|Q_{(t,r)}(\mathrm{d}x) \le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|L\psi_t(x)|^{1+\delta}Q_{(t,r)}(\mathrm{d}x)\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\delta}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}Q_{(t,r)}(\mathrm{d}x)\right)^{\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}}$$
$$\le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|L\psi_t(x)|^{1+\delta}P_r(\mathrm{d}x)\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\delta}}\left(1-e^{-\bar\lambda(r-t)}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}}.$$

As $r\to t$, the first term is bounded, while the second tends to 0. Therefore

$$\lim_{r\to t^+}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}L\psi_t(x)P_r(\mathrm{d}x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}L\psi_t(x)P_t(\mathrm{d}x).$$

To check the left continuity, we now let $r\le t$ and write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}L\psi_t(x)P_r(\mathrm{d}x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}L\psi_t(x)e^{\lambda(x)(t-r)}P_t(\mathrm{d}x)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}L\psi_t(x)e^{\lambda(x)(t-r)}Q_{(r,t)}(\mathrm{d}x)$$

where the first term in right-hand side converges to $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}L\psi_t(x)P_t(\mathrm{d}x)$ as $r\to t$ by dominated convergence. Moreover

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|L\psi_t(x)|e^{\lambda(x)(t-r)}Q_{(r,t)}(\mathrm{d}x) \le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|L\psi_t(x)|^{1+\delta}e^{\lambda(x)(t-r)}P_t(\mathrm{d}x)\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\delta}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}e^{\bar\lambda(t-r)}Q_{(r,t)}(\mathrm{d}x)\right)^{\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}}$$
$$\le \left(e^{\bar\lambda(t-r)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|L\psi_t(x)|^{1+\delta}P_t(\mathrm{d}x)\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\delta}}\left(e^{\bar\lambda(t-r)}-1\right)^{\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}}$$

so that the left-hand side goes to 0 as $r\to t$, which implies the desired left continuity property.

Since $r\mapsto W_\varrho^\varrho(P_r,\widetilde P_r)$ is locally Lipschitz on $(0,+\infty)$ with $\mathrm{d}r$ a.e., $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}W_\varrho^\varrho(P_r,\widetilde P_r)=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}L\psi_r(x)P_r(\mathrm{d}x)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\widetilde L\,\widetilde\psi_r(x)\widetilde P_r(\mathrm{d}x)$,

$$\forall 0<s\le t,\ W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t,\widetilde P_t)=W_\varrho^\varrho(P_s,\widetilde P_s)-\int_s^t\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}L\psi_r(x)P_r(\mathrm{d}x)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\widetilde L\,\widetilde\psi_r(x)\widetilde P_r(\mathrm{d}x)\right]\mathrm{d}r\,. \tag{3.11}$$

By Proposition 3.3, $r\mapsto\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|L\psi_r(x)|P_r(\mathrm{d}x)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|L\widetilde\psi_r(x)|\widetilde P_r(\mathrm{d}x)$ is locally integrable on $[0,\infty)$. Therefore, as $s\to 0$, the integral in the right-hand side of (3.11) converges to the integral from 0 to t by dominated convergence. Since, by the triangle inequality for the Wasserstein distance, $|W_\varrho(P_s,\widetilde P_s)-W_\varrho(P_0,\widetilde P_0)|\le W_\varrho(P_s,P_0)+W_\varrho(\widetilde P_s,\widetilde P_0)$, it is enough to check that $\lim_{s\to0^+}W_\varrho(P_s,P_0)=0$ to conclude that (3.3) holds. This follows from the inequalities

$$W_\varrho^\varrho(P_s,P_0)\le\mathbb{E}[|X_s-X_0|^\varrho]\le\left(2^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)-1}\mathbb{E}\left[|X_s|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}+|X_0|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}\left(\mathbb{P}(X_s\ne X_0)\right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}}$$
$$\le 2^\varrho\left(\sup_{r\in[0,s]}\mathbb{E}\left[|X_r|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}\left(1-e^{-\bar\lambda s}\right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

□

4. Application to birth and death processes

Let P_0 and $\widetilde P_0$ be two probability measures on $\mathbb{N}$. In this section, we consider two pure jump Markov processes $\{X_t\}_{t\ge0}$ and $\{\widetilde X\}_{t\ge0}$ with respective initial marginal laws P_0 and $\widetilde P_0$ and with the same generator

$$Lf(x)=\eta(x)(f(x+1)-f(x))+\nu(x)(f(x-1)-f(x)),\ x\in\mathbb{N}.$$

The functions $\eta, \nu : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\nu(0) = 0$ are respectively the birth and death rates. Note that we are precisely in the framework of Section 3 by setting $\lambda(x) = \eta(x) + \nu(x)$ and, when $\lambda(x) > 0$, $k(x, \mathrm{d}y) = \frac{\eta}{\lambda}(x)\delta_{x+1}(\mathrm{d}y) + \frac{\nu}{\lambda}(x)\delta_{x-1}(\mathrm{d}y)$, and we still denote by $\{P_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{\widetilde{P}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ the marginal laws of the processes $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{\widetilde{X}\}_{t\geq 0}$. We will assume in the whole section that

$$\exists C > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, \eta(x) \leq C(1 + x), \tag{4.1}$$

which ensures that the processes are well-defined for any $t \geq 0$ by preventing accumulation of jumps. Of course this sufficient condition is not necessary and existence also holds under suitable balance conditions between the birth and death rates. But to obtain estimations of $W_\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t)$ for any $\varrho \geq 1$ below, we will assume that η is Lipschitz continuous so that the affine growth assumption (4.1) is no longer restrictive. For $\varrho \geq 1$, we have formally

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbb{E}[X_t^\varrho] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\eta(X_t)((X_t+1)^\varrho - X_t^\varrho) + \nu(X_t)(|X_t - 1|^\varrho - X_t^\varrho)\right] \leq C\mathbb{E}\left[(1+X_t)((X_t+1)^\varrho - X_t^\varrho)\right] \\ &\leq Cc_\varrho(\mathbb{E}[X_t^\varrho] + 1),\end{aligned}$$

using (4.1) and introducting the finite constant $c_\varrho = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{(1+x)[(1+x)^\varrho - x^\varrho]}{1+x^\varrho}$. By a standard localization procedure, we deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t^\varrho] \leq (\mathbb{E}[X_0^\varrho] + 1)e^{c_\varrho Ct} - 1. \tag{4.2}$$

We now define

$$\mathrm{Lip}(\eta) = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{N}} |\eta(x+1) - \eta(x)|, \ \mathrm{Lip}(\nu) = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{N}} |\nu(x+1) - \nu(x)|,$$

and $\kappa := \inf_{x\in\mathbb{N}}(\eta(x) + \nu(x+1) - \eta(x+1) - \nu(x))$ the Wasserstein curvature introduced by Joulin (2007).

Proposition 4.1. *Let us assume that η and ν are bounded functions. Let $\varrho \geq 1$, P_0 and $\widetilde{P}_0$ such that $\mathbb{E}[X_0^\varrho + \widetilde{X}_0^\varrho] < \infty$. Then, there exists a constant $C_\varrho \in [0, +\infty)$ such that for any $t \geq 0$,*

$$W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) \leq W_\varrho^\varrho(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0)e^{-\kappa\varrho t} + C_\varrho(\mathrm{Lip}(\eta) + \mathrm{Lip}(\nu)) \int_0^t e^{\kappa\varrho(r-t)}(W_1(P_r, \widetilde{P}_r) + 1_{\{\varrho>2\}}W_{\varrho-1}^{\varrho-1}(P_r, \widetilde{P}_r))\mathrm{d}r. \tag{4.3}$$

Besides, in the particular cases $\varrho = 1$ and $\varrho \in (1, 2]$, we can take $C_1 = 0$ and $C_\varrho = 1$.

Proof. The initial marginals P_0 and $\widetilde{P}_0$ are two probability measures on $\mathbb{N}$. For U uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$ and $r \geq 0$, let $F_r(x) = P_r((-\infty, x])$, $\widetilde{F}_r(x) = \widetilde{P}_r((-\infty, x])$ and π_r denote the law of $(F_r^{-1}(U), \widetilde{F}_r^{-1}(U))$ which is an optimal coupling between P_r and $\widetilde{P}_r$. By a slight abuse of notation, for $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$, we will denote $\pi_r(x, y)$ in place of $\pi_r(\{(x, y)\})$. Let $\varrho \geq 1$. Since the jump rates are bounded, we can apply Theorem 3.1, and get for $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) = W_\varrho^\varrho(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0) + \int_0^t \sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{N}} \pi_r(x, y)\Big(&\eta(x)(\psi_r(x) - \psi_r(x+1)) + \nu(x)(\psi_r(x) - \psi_r(x-1)) \\ &+ \eta(y)(\widetilde{\psi}_r(y) - \widetilde{\psi}_r(y+1)) + \nu(y)(\widetilde{\psi}_r(y) - \widetilde{\psi}_r(y-1))\Big)\mathrm{d}r\end{aligned}$$

Setting $\alpha_r(x, y) = \psi_r(x) - \psi_r(x+1) + \varrho(y-x)|x-y|^{\varrho-2}$, $\widetilde{\alpha}_r(x, y) = \widetilde{\psi}_r(y) - \widetilde{\psi}_r(y+1) + \varrho(x-y)|x-y|^{\varrho-2}$, $\beta_r(x, y) = \psi_r(x) - \psi_r(x-1) + \varrho(x-y)|x-y|^{\varrho-2}$ and $\widetilde{\beta}_r(x, y) =$

$\widetilde{\psi}_r(y) - \widetilde{\psi}_r(y-1) + \varrho(y-x)|x-y|^{\varrho-2}$ (where by convention $z|z|^{\varrho-2} = 0$ when $z = 0$), one deduces that

$$W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) = W_\varrho^\varrho(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0) + \int_0^t \sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{N}} \pi_r(x,y)\Big(\varrho(\eta(x)+\nu(y)-\nu(x)-\eta(y))(x-y)|x-y|^{\varrho-2}$$
$$+ \eta(x)\alpha_r(x,y) + \eta(y)\widetilde{\alpha}_r(x,y) + \nu(x)\beta_r(x,y) + \nu(y)\widetilde{\beta}_r(x,y)\Big)\mathrm{d}r. \tag{4.4}$$

From the definition of the Wasserstein curvature, one has

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{N},\ (\eta(x)+\nu(y)-\nu(x)-\eta(y))(x-y) \le -\kappa(x-y)^2.$$

Since π_r is a coupling between P_r and $\widetilde{P}_r$, one deduces that

$$\sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{N}} \pi_r(x,y)\varrho(\eta(x)+\nu(y)-\nu(x)-\eta(y))(x-y)|x-y|^{\varrho-2} \le -\kappa\varrho W_\varrho^\varrho(P_r, \widetilde{P}_r). \tag{4.5}$$

Let $(x,y) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ be such that $\pi_r(x,y) > 0$. By the optimality of the coupling π_r and (1.4), $-\psi_r(x) - \widetilde{\psi}_r(y) = |x-y|^\varrho$. Moreover, for all $(z,w) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, $-\psi_r(z) - \widetilde{\psi}_r(w) \le |z-w|^\varrho$. This yields

$$\alpha_r(x,y) + \varrho(x-y)|x-y|^{\varrho-2} = \psi_r(x) + \widetilde{\psi}_r(y) - (\psi_r(x+1) + \widetilde{\psi}_r(y)) \le |x+1-y|^\varrho - |x-y|^\varrho,$$
$$\widetilde{\alpha}_r(x,y) + \varrho(y-x)|x-y|^{\varrho-2} = \psi_r(x) + \widetilde{\psi}_r(y) - (\psi_r(x) + \widetilde{\psi}_r(y+1)) \le |y+1-x|^\varrho - |x-y|^\varrho,$$
$$\alpha_r(x,y) + \widetilde{\alpha}_r(x,y) = \psi_r(x) + \widetilde{\psi}_r(y) - (\psi_r(x+1) + \widetilde{\psi}_r(y+1)) \le |x-y|^\varrho - |x-y|^\varrho = 0.$$

For $\varrho > 1$, $\exists C_\varrho < \infty$, $\forall z \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|z+1|^\varrho - |z|^\varrho - \varrho z|z|^{\varrho-2} \le C_\varrho(1 + 1_{\{\varrho>2\}}|z|^{\varrho-2})$ (notice that C_ϱ can be chosen equal to 1 when $\varrho \in (1,2]$), so that

$$\alpha_r(x,y) \vee \widetilde{\alpha}_r(x,y) \le C_\varrho(1 + 1_{\{\varrho>2\}}|x-y|^{\varrho-2}) \text{ and } \alpha_r(x,y) + \widetilde{\alpha}_r(x,y) \le 0.$$

As a consequence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(x)\alpha_r(x,y) + \eta(y)\widetilde{\alpha}_r(x,y) &= (\eta(x)-\eta(y))\alpha_r(x,y) + \eta(y)(\alpha_r(x,y) + \widetilde{\alpha}_r(x,y)) \\ &\le |\eta(x)-\eta(y)| \times C_\varrho(1 + 1_{\{\varrho>2\}}|x-y|^{\varrho-2}) + 0 \\ &\le C_\varrho \mathrm{Lip}(\eta)(|x-y| + 1_{\{\varrho>2\}}|x-y|^{\varrho-1}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

For $\varrho = 1$, $|z+1| - |z| - \varrho z|z|^{-1} = 1_{\{z=0\}}$ so that

$$\alpha_r(x,y) \vee \widetilde{\alpha}_r(x,y) \le 1_{\{x=y\}},\ \alpha_r(x,y) + \widetilde{\alpha}_r(x,y) \le 0,\ \eta(x)\alpha_r(x,y) + \eta(y)\widetilde{\alpha}_r(x,y) \le 0$$

and (4.6) holds with $C_1 = 0$.

One checks by a symmetric reasoning that, for $\varrho \ge 1$,

$$\nu(x)\beta_r(x,y) + \nu(y)\widetilde{\beta}_r(x,y) \le C_\varrho \mathrm{Lip}(\nu)(|x-y| + 1_{\{\varrho>2\}}|x-y|^{\varrho-1}). \tag{4.7}$$

Plugging (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) into (4.4), one obtains

$$W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) \le W_\varrho^\varrho(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0) + \int_0^t C_\varrho(\mathrm{Lip}(\eta)+\mathrm{Lip}(\nu))(W_1(P_r, \widetilde{P}_r) + 1_{\{\varrho>2\}}W_{\varrho-1}^{\varrho-1}(P_r, \widetilde{P}_r)) - \kappa\varrho W_\varrho^\varrho(P_r, \widetilde{P}_r)\mathrm{d}r,$$

and then (4.3) by Gronwall's Lemma. □

Theorem 4.2. *We assume that* (4.1) *holds,* $\kappa > -\infty$ *and* $\mathbb{E}[X_0^\varrho + \widetilde{X}_0^\varrho] < \infty$ *for some* $\varrho \geq 1$. *Then, we have* $W_1(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) \leq W_1(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0)e^{-\kappa t}$ *and, if* $\mathrm{Lip}(\eta) + \mathrm{Lip}(\nu) < \infty$, *then* (4.3) *still holds. In particular, when* $\varrho \in (1, 2]$,

$$\forall t \geq 0,\ W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) \leq W_\varrho^\varrho(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0)e^{-\kappa\varrho t} + (\mathrm{Lip}(\eta) + \mathrm{Lip}(\nu))W_1(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0)\frac{e^{-\kappa t} - e^{-\kappa\varrho t}}{\kappa(\varrho - 1)}. \quad (4.8)$$

Notice that for $t \geq 0$, since P_t and $\widetilde{P}_t$ are supported in $\mathbb{N}$, $\varrho \mapsto W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t)$ is non-decreasing.

Proof. For $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we consider the following approximation of the process $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ (resp. $\{\widetilde{X}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$). We set $X_0^N = \min(X_0, N)$ and $X_t^N = X_t$ for $t \leq \tau^N = \inf\{s \geq 0 : X_s \geq N\}$ (resp. $\widetilde{X}_0^N = \min(\widetilde{X}_0, N)$ and $\widetilde{X}_t^N = \widetilde{X}_t$ for $t \leq \widetilde{\tau}^N = \inf\{s \geq 0 : \widetilde{X}_s \geq N\}$). Then, we sample $\{X_t^N\}_{t\geq\tau^N}$ (resp. $\{\widetilde{X}_t^N\}_{t\geq\widetilde{\tau}^N}$) independently from X (resp. $\widetilde{X}$) with the birth rate $1_{\{x<N\}}\eta(x)$ and death rate $\nu(x)$. By construction, $\{X_t^N\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{\widetilde{X}_t^N\}_{t\geq 0}$ are Markov processes on $\{0, \dots, N\}$ with the same generator $1_{\{x<N\}}\eta(x)(f(x+1) - f(x)) - \nu(x)(f(x-1) - f(x))$. From Proposition 4.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t^N, \widetilde{P}_t^N) \leq & W_\varrho^\varrho(P_0^N, \widetilde{P}_0^N)e^{-\kappa^N\varrho t} \\ & + C_\varrho(\mathrm{Lip}(\eta) + \mathrm{Lip}(\nu)) \int_0^t e^{\kappa^N\varrho(r-t)}(W_1(P_r^N, \widetilde{P}_r^N) + 1_{\{\varrho>2\}}W_{\varrho-1}^{\varrho-1}(P_r^N, \widetilde{P}_r^N))\mathrm{d}r, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

with $\kappa^N = \inf_{x\in\{0,\dots,N-1\}} \eta(x) + \nu(x+1) - \eta(x+1)1_{\{x+1\neq N\}} - \nu(x)$. We have for $N \geq 2$,

$$\inf_{x\in\{0,\dots,N-1\}} \eta(x)+\nu(x+1)-\eta(x+1)-\nu(x) \leq \kappa^N \leq \inf_{x\in\{0,\dots,N-2\}} \eta(x)+\nu(x+1)-\eta(x+1)-\nu(x)$$

and thus $\kappa^N \to \kappa$ as $N \to +\infty$. It remains to show the convergence $W_{\varrho'}(P_t^N, \widetilde{P}_t^N) \underset{N\to+\infty}{\to} W_{\varrho'}(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t)$ for $\varrho' \in [1, \varrho]$ and the convergence of the integral in the right-hand side of (4.9). Using Assumption (4.1) like in the derivation of (4.2), we obtain bounds on the ϱ-moments that are uniform in N locally uniformly in time, so that by Theorem 6.9 of Villani (2008) and Lebesgue's theorem, it is enough to show the weak convergence of P_t^N to P_t as $N \to \infty$. Since $X_t^N = X_t$ when $t \leq \tau^N$ and $\tau^N \to +\infty$ a.s., we even have the stronger almost sure convergence of X_t^N to X_t. □

For $\varrho = 1$, Theorem 4.2 recovers the estimation $W_1(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) \leq W_1(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0)e^{-\kappa t}$ obtained in Joulin (2007) by any trajectorial coupling between the processes with common jumps on the diagonal. The estimation (4.8) when $\varrho \in (1, 2]$ is new to our knowledge. By iterating the inductive inequality (4.3), we can also get new upper bounds on $W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t)$ for any $\varrho > 2$. For example, in the case $\kappa > 0$, we have $e^{-\kappa\varrho t} \leq e^{-\kappa t}$, and we get that $W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) \leq A(\varrho)e^{-kt}$, where, for $\varrho > 2$, $A(\varrho)$ satisfies from (4.3) the induction formula $A(\varrho) \leq W_\varrho^\varrho(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0) + \frac{C_\varrho L}{\kappa(\varrho-1)}(W_1(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0) + A(\varrho - 1))$ with $L = \mathrm{Lip}(\eta) + \mathrm{Lip}(\nu)$. From (4.8), we eventually obtain that for $\varrho > 2$, when $\mathbb{E}[X_0^\varrho + \widetilde{X}_0^\varrho] < \infty$,

$$\forall t \geq 0,\ W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\lceil\varrho-2\rceil} \pi_{j-1}^\varrho W_{\varrho-j}^{\varrho-j}(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lceil\varrho-1\rceil} \pi_{j-1}^\varrho\right) W_1(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0)\right) e^{-\kappa t},$$

where $\pi_j^\varrho = \prod_{i=0}^j \frac{C_{\varrho-i}L}{\kappa(\varrho-i-1)}$, $\pi_{-1}^\varrho = 1$ and for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the integer such that $x \leq \lceil x \rceil < x+1$. In the particular case $P_0 = \delta_x$ and $\widetilde{P}_0 = \delta_y$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \neq y$, we deduce that $W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) \leq \left(1 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \varrho-2 \rceil} \pi_{j-1}^\varrho + \pi_{\lceil \varrho-2 \rceil}^\varrho\right) |x-y|^\varrho e^{-\kappa t}$, which is similar to (1.11).

Remark 4.3. *By integrating explicitly* (4.3)*, we could get sharper bounds for* $W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t)$ *with tedious and cumbersome calculations. Besides, in the case* $\varrho \in \mathbb{N}^*$ *with* $\varrho \geq 3$*, we can get a better upper bound than* (4.3) *by bounding* $|z+1|^\varrho - |z|^\varrho - \varrho z|z|^{\varrho-2}$ *from above by* $\sum_{k=0}^{\varrho-2} \binom{\varrho}{k} |z|^k$ *instead of* $C_\varrho(1 + 1_{\{\varrho>2\}}|z|^{\varrho-2})$*. This would involve in the induction all the functions* $r \mapsto W_k^k(P_r, \widetilde{P}_r)$ *for* $k = 1, \ldots, \varrho - 1$*. Note that for* $\varrho \in [1, 2]$*, we have used the sharpest bound for* $|z+1|^\varrho - |z|^\varrho - \varrho z|z|^{\varrho-2}$*.*

Analogy with one dimensional diffusions with multiplicative noise.

At least at a formal level, one can obtain very similar estimations for one dimensional diffusions processes with generator $Lf(x) = \frac{1}{2}a(x)f''(x) + b(x)f'(x)$ where $a : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is supposed to be Lipchitz continuous and such that $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, |\sqrt{a}(x) - \sqrt{a}(y)| \leq \varrho(|x-y|)$ for some function ϱ such that $\int_{0+} \frac{dz}{\varrho(z)} = \infty$ and $b : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is locally bounded and satifies the monotonicity condition

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R},\ \mathrm{sgn}(x-y)(b(x) - b(y)) \leq -\kappa|x-y| \text{ where } \mathrm{sgn}(z) = 1_{\{z \geq 0\}} - 1_{\{z<0\}}. \quad (4.10)$$

Under the conditions on a, if $\mathrm{d}X_t = \sqrt{a}(X_t)\mathrm{d}W_t + b(X_t)\mathrm{d}t$ and $\mathrm{d}\widetilde{X}_t = \sqrt{a}(\widetilde{X}_t)\mathrm{d}W_t + b(\widetilde{X}_t)\mathrm{d}t$ with $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a Brownian motion independent from $(X_0, \widetilde{X}_0)$ distributed according to the optimal coupling π_0, it is well-known that the local time at 0 of $X_t - \widetilde{X}_t$ vanishes (see for instance Corollary 3.4 p. 390, Revuz and Yor (1999)) so that, by the Itô-Tanaka formula (see Theorem 1.2 p. 222, Revuz and Yor (1999)) and (4.10),

$$\mathrm{d}|X_t - \widetilde{X}_t| = \mathrm{sgn}(X_t - \widetilde{X}_t)\mathrm{d}(X_t - \widetilde{X}_t) \leq \mathrm{sgn}(X_t - \widetilde{X}_t)(\sqrt{a}(X_t) - \sqrt{a}(\widetilde{X}_t))\mathrm{d}W_t - \kappa|X_t - \widetilde{X}_t|\mathrm{d}t.$$

When the expectation of the stochastic integral vanishes and $t \mapsto \mathbb{E}[|X_t - \widetilde{X}_t|]$ is locally integrable (both properties implied by $\mathbb{E}[|X_0| + |\widetilde{X}_0|] < \infty$ which ensures $t \mapsto \mathbb{E}[|X_t| + |\widetilde{X}_t|]$ is locally bounded), one deduces that $\forall t \geq 0$, $W_1(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) \leq e^{-\kappa t} W_1(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0)$. Notice that for the stochastic differential equation where $\sqrt{a}(x)$ and $b(x)$ have been replaced by the continuous and bounded coefficients $\sqrt{a}(-m \vee x \wedge m)$ and $b(-m \vee x \wedge m)$, weak existence holds whereas trajectorial uniqueness is deduced from the Itô-Tanaka formula so that existence of a unique strong solution follows by the Yamada-Watanabe theorem. With standard uniform in m estimation of moments, this ensures existence and trajectorial uniqueness for the original stochastic differential equation.

For $\varrho > 0$, let us suppose that for all $r \geq 0$, the Kantorovich potential functions ψ_r and $\widetilde{\psi}_r$ are C^2 and that (1.8) holds. Then, this equation writes

$$\begin{aligned} W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) =& W_\varrho^\varrho(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0) \\ &- \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2}a(x)\psi_r''(x) + b(x)\psi_r'(x)P_r(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2}a(x)\widetilde{\psi}_r''(x) + b(x)\widetilde{\psi}_r'(x)\widetilde{P}_r(\mathrm{d}x) \right) \mathrm{d}r. \end{aligned}$$

When F_r and $\widetilde{F}_r$ are continuous and increasing, one can consider the optimal transport map $T_r(x) = \widetilde{F}_r^{-1}(F_r(x))$ mentionned in the introduction and its inverse $\widetilde{T}_r(x) = F_r^{-1}(\widetilde{F}_r(x))$.

The first and second order Euler optimality conditions in (1.6) write

$$\psi_r'(x) = \varrho(T_r(x)-x)|T_r(x)-x|^{\varrho-2} \text{ and } \psi_r''(x) \geq \varrho(\varrho-1)|T_r(x)-x|^{\varrho-2}(T_r'(x)-1).$$

By symmetry and since $\widetilde{T}_r(T_r(x)) = x$ which implies $\widetilde{T}_r'(T_r(x)) = \frac{1}{T_r'(x)}$,

$$\widetilde{\psi}_r'(T_r(x)) = \varrho(x-T_r(x))|T_r(x)-x|^{\varrho-2} \text{ and } \widetilde{\psi}_r''(T_r(x)) \geq \varrho(\varrho-1)|T_r(x)-x|^{\varrho-2}\left(\frac{1}{T_r'(x)}-1\right).$$

Now, using that $\widetilde{P}_r$ is the image of P_r by T_r, then the first order optimality conditions and last (4.10), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
-\int_{\mathbb{R}} b(x)\psi_r'(x)P_r(\mathrm{d}x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} b(x)\widetilde{\psi}_r'(x)\widetilde{P}_r(\mathrm{d}x) &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} b(x)\psi_r'(x) + b(T_r(x))\widetilde{\psi}_r'(T_r(x))P_r(\mathrm{d}x) \\
&= \varrho\int_{\mathbb{R}} (b(T_r(x))-b(x))(T_r(x)-x)|T_r(x)-x|^{\varrho-2}P_r(\mathrm{d}x) \\
&\leq -\kappa\varrho\int_{\mathbb{R}} |T_r(x)-x|^{\varrho}P_r(\mathrm{d}x) = -\kappa\varrho W_{\varrho}^{\varrho}(P_r,\widetilde{P}_r).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we observe that when $T_r'(x) \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
a(x)(1-T_r'(x)) + a(T_r(x))\left(1-\frac{1}{T_r'(x)}\right) &= a(x)\left(2-T_r'(x)-\frac{1}{T_r'(x)}\right) + (a(T_r(x))-a(x))\left(1-\frac{1}{T_r'(x)}\right) \\
&\leq -a(x)\left(\sqrt{T_r'(x)}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{T_r'(x)}}\right)^2 + |a(T_r(x))-a(x)| \times \left|1-\frac{1}{T_r'(x)}\right| \leq 0 + \mathrm{Lip}(a)|T_r(x)-x| \times 1
\end{aligned}$$

and the same estimation holds when $T_r'(x) \leq 1$ by a symmetric reasoning. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\mathbb{R}} a(x)\psi_r''(x)P_r(\mathrm{d}x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(x)\widetilde{\psi}_r''(x)\widetilde{P}_r(\mathrm{d}x) \\
&\qquad\leq \varrho(\varrho-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}} |T_r(x)-x|^{\varrho-2}\left(a(x)(1-T_r'(x)) + a(T_r(x))\left(1-\frac{1}{T_r'(x)}\right)\right)P_r(\mathrm{d}x) \\
&\qquad\leq \varrho(\varrho-1)\mathrm{Lip}(a)\int_{\mathbb{R}} |T_r(x)-x|^{\varrho-1}P_r(\mathrm{d}x).
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that, for $\varrho \geq 2$,

$$\forall t \geq 0,\ W_{\varrho}^{\varrho}(P_t,\widetilde{P}_t) \leq W_{\varrho}^{\varrho}(P_0,\widetilde{P}_0) - \int_0^t \frac{\varrho(\varrho-1)}{2}\mathrm{Lip}(a)W_{\varrho-1}^{\varrho-1}(P_r,\widetilde{P}_r) - \kappa\varrho W_{\varrho}^{\varrho}(P_r,\widetilde{P}_r)\mathrm{d}r.$$

In a future work, we plan to investigate whether the approximation by Euler-Maruyama schemes considered in Alfonsi et al. (2015) permits to justify rigorously this estimation.

5. Integrability with respect to the marginals of a pure jump process with bounded intensity of jumps

In the present section, we deal with the pure jump Markov process $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ with marginals $\{P_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and infinitesimal generator L given by (3.1) with $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\lambda(x) \leq \bar{\lambda} < \infty$. Our final goal is to prove Proposition 3.3.

For every $t \geq 0$, the law of X_t is given by

$$P_t(\mathrm{d}x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x) \tag{5.1}$$

where $P_{0,t}(\mathrm{d}x_0) = e^{-\lambda(x_0)t}P_0(\mathrm{d}x_0)$ and for $n \geq 1$, under the convention $t_0 = 0$,

$$P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x_n) = \int_{0\leq t_1\leq t_2\leq\ldots\leq t_n\leq t}\int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} P_0(\mathrm{d}x_0)\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}(\lambda(x_j)e^{-\lambda(x_j)(t_{j+1}-t_j)}k(x_j,\mathrm{d}x_{j+1}))$$
$$e^{-\lambda(x_n)(t-t_n)}\mathrm{d}t_1\ldots\mathrm{d}t_n\,. \tag{5.2}$$

The measure $P_{n,t}$ has the following trajectorial interpretation : for any $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_+$ measurable,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X_t)1_{\{\{X_s\}_{s\geq 0}\text{ undergoes } n \text{ jumps on } [0,t]\}}] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x).$$

The key reason why we can relate integrability with respect to P_s and to P_t for $s \neq t$ is that all the marginals $\{P_r\}_{r>0}$ are equivalent probability measures.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $t > 0$ and $s \geq 0$.*

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N},\ P_{n,s}(\mathrm{d}x) \leq e^{\bar{\lambda}(t-s)^+}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^n P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x). \tag{5.3}$$

Moreover, for $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ measurable and $\eta > 0$ we have,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(x)|P_s(\mathrm{d}x) \leq 1_{\{s\leq t\}}e^{\bar{\lambda}(t-s)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(x)|P_t(\mathrm{d}x)+1_{\{s>t\}}e^{\frac{\eta\bar{\lambda}s}{1+\eta}(\frac{s}{t})^{\frac{1}{\eta}}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(x)|^{1+\eta}P_t(\mathrm{d}x)\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\eta}}. \tag{5.4}$$

Remark 5.2. *This lemma ensures that if $s \leq t$ then $L^\alpha(P_t) \subset L^\alpha(P_s)$ for every $\alpha \geq 1$. Such an inclusion may be strict. If, for example, $\{X_r\}_{r\geq 0}$ is a Poisson process with positive parameter and $f(x) = \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{t^x}$ for some fixed $t > 0$, then $f \in L^1(P_s)$ for $s < t$ but $f \notin L^1(P_s)$ for $s \geq t$. On the other hand, for $\eta > 0$, we have the inclusion $L^{\alpha(1+\eta)}(P_t) \subset L^\alpha(P_s)$ for all $s, t > 0$.*

Proof. Equation (5.3) clearly holds for $s = 0$. For $s > 0$, the change of variables $(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n) = \frac{s}{t}(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n)$ leads to

$$P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x_n) = \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^n\int_{0\leq s_1\leq s_2\leq\ldots\leq s_n\leq s}\int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} P_0(\mathrm{d}x_0)\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}(\lambda(x_j)e^{-\frac{t}{s}\lambda(x_j)(s_{j+1}-s_j)}k(x_j,\mathrm{d}x_{j+1}))$$
$$e^{-\frac{t}{s}\lambda(x_n)(s-s_n)}\mathrm{d}s_1\ldots\mathrm{d}s_n,$$

where, by convention, $s_0 = 0$.

Since for $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \ldots \leq s_n \leq s$,

$$\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{-(\frac{t}{s}-1)\lambda(x_j)(s_{j+1}-s_j)}e^{-(\frac{t}{s}-1)\lambda(x_n)(s-s_n)} \geq e^{-\frac{(t-s)^+}{s}\bar{\lambda}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(s_{j+1}-s_j)+(s-s_n)\right)} = e^{-\bar{\lambda}(t-s)^+},$$

we deduce (5.3). As a consequence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(x)|P_s(\mathrm{d}x) = \sum_{n\geq 0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(x)|P_{n,s}(\mathrm{d}x) \leq e^{\bar{\lambda}(t-s)^+}\sum_{n\geq 0}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^n\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(x)|P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x)$$

and (5.4) follows easily when $s \le t$. For $s \ge t$, applying Hölder's inequality in the right-hand side, we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|\, P_s(\mathrm{d}x) \le \left(\sum_{n\ge 0} \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{n\frac{1+\eta}{\eta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x) \right)^{\frac{\eta}{1+\eta}} \left(\sum_{n\ge 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^{1+\eta} P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x) \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\eta}}.$$

We can conclude since $P_{n,t}(\mathbb{R}^d) \le \bar\lambda^n \frac{t^n}{n!}$ and $\sum_{n\ge 0} \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{n\frac{1+\eta}{\eta}} \bar\lambda^n \frac{t^n}{n!} \le \exp\left(\bar\lambda s \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\eta}}\right)$. □

In order to prove Proposition 3.3, we have to deal with integrability with respect to the measure $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lambda(x) k(x, \mathrm{d}y) P_t(\mathrm{d}x)$. To this aim, we introduce $Q_0(\mathrm{d}x_0) = P_0(\mathrm{d}x_0)$ and for $n \ge 1$,

$$Q_n(\mathrm{d}x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q_{n-1}(\mathrm{d}x_{n-1}) \lambda(x_{n-1}) k(x_{n-1}, \mathrm{d}x_n) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} P_0(\mathrm{d}x_0) \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \lambda(x_j) k(x_j, \mathrm{d}x_{j+1}). \tag{5.5}$$

Notice that one easily checks by induction on n that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $Q_n(\mathbb{R}^d) \le \bar\lambda^n$. For all $t > 0$, P_t is equivalent to the measure $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{Q_n}{n!}$. Indeed, since in the definition (5.2) of $P_{n,t}$,

$$e^{-\bar\lambda t} \le e^{-\lambda(x_n)(t-t_n)} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{-\lambda(x_j)(t_{j+1}-t_j)} \le 1$$

and $\int_{0\le t_1\le t_2\le \ldots \le t_n \le t} dt_1 \ldots dt_n = \frac{t^n}{n!}$, the first statement in the following lemma holds. The lemma also relates integrability with respect to P_t and to $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lambda(x) k(x, \mathrm{d}y) P_t(\mathrm{d}x)$.

Lemma 5.3. *For every $n \ge 0$, for every $t \ge 0$ one has*

$$e^{-\bar\lambda t} \frac{t^n}{n!} Q_n(\mathrm{d}x) \le P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x) \le \frac{t^n}{n!} Q_n(\mathrm{d}x). \tag{5.6}$$

Moreover, for $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ measurable, $t > 0$ and any $\eta > 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d} \lambda(x)|f(y)| k(x, \mathrm{d}y) P_t(\mathrm{d}x) \le C_\eta(t) \left(\frac{\sum_{n\ge 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^{1+\eta} P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x)}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\eta}} \tag{5.7}$$

$$\text{where } C_\eta(t) = e^{\bar\lambda t} \left(\frac{e^{\bar\lambda t\left(e^{\frac{1+\eta}{e\eta}} - 1\right)} - e^{-\bar\lambda t}}{t} \right)^{\frac{\eta}{1+\eta}}. \tag{5.8}$$

Remark 5.4. *Notice that $\lim_{t\to 0} C_\eta(t) = \left(\bar\lambda e^{\frac{1+\eta}{e\eta}}\right)^{\frac{\eta}{1+\eta}}$. Moreover, if $0 < t \le s$, by (5.3), $P_{n,t} \le e^{\bar\lambda s} \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^n P_{n,s}$ so that $\frac{1}{t}\sum_{n\ge 1} P_{n,t} \le \frac{e^{\bar\lambda s}}{s} \sum_{n\ge 1} \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{n-1} P_{n,s} \le \frac{e^{\bar\lambda s}}{s} P_s$. With (5.7) for $t > 0$ and using $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x, \mathrm{d}y) P_0(\mathrm{d}x) = Q_1(\mathrm{d}y) \le \frac{e^{\bar\lambda s}}{s} P_{1,s}(\mathrm{d}y)$ for $t = 0$, we deduce that if $f \in L^{1+\eta}(P_s)$ with $s, \eta > 0$, then $t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d} \lambda(x)|f(y)| k(x, \mathrm{d}y) P_t(\mathrm{d}x)$ is bounded on $[0, s]$.*

Proof. From (5.5) and (5.6) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lambda(x_n) k(x_n, \mathrm{d}x_{n+1}) P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x_n) \le \frac{t^n}{n!} Q_{n+1}(\mathrm{d}x_{n+1}) = \frac{n+1}{t} \frac{t^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} Q_{n+1}(\mathrm{d}x_{n+1}).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2}\lambda(x)|f(y)|k(x,\mathrm{d}y)P_t(\mathrm{d}x) &= \sum_{n\geq 0}\int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2}\lambda(x_n)|f(x_{n+1})|k(x_n,\mathrm{d}x_{n+1})P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x_n)\\ &\leq \sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{n+1}{t}\frac{t^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(x_{n+1})|Q_{n+1}(\mathrm{d}x_{n+1})\\ &= \frac{e^{\bar\lambda t}}{t}\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{n\,t^n}{n!}e^{-\bar\lambda t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(x_n)|Q_n(\mathrm{d}x_n)\\ &= \frac{e^{\bar\lambda t}}{t}\sum_{n\geq 1}\bar\lambda^{\frac{n\eta}{1+\eta}}n\left(\frac{t^n}{n!}e^{-\bar\lambda t}\right)^{\frac{\eta}{1+\eta}}\bar\lambda^{-\frac{n\eta}{1+\eta}}\left(\frac{t^n}{n!}e^{-\bar\lambda t}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\eta}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(x_n)|Q_n(\mathrm{d}x_n)\\ &\leq \frac{e^{\bar\lambda t}}{t}\left(\sum_{n\geq 1}\bar\lambda^n n^{\frac{1+\eta}{\eta}}\frac{t^n}{n!}e^{-\bar\lambda t}\right)^{\frac{\eta}{1+\eta}}\left(\sum_{n\geq 1}\bar\lambda^{-n\eta}\frac{t^n}{n!}e^{-\bar\lambda t}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(x_n)|Q_n(\mathrm{d}x_n)\right)^{1+\eta}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\eta}},\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

where we used Hölder's inequality for the second inequality.

We deduce from Hölder's inequality and the bound $Q_n(\mathbb{R}^d)\leq\bar\lambda^n$ that each term in the last sum can be bounded from above by $\frac{t^n}{n!}e^{-\bar\lambda t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(x_n)|^{1+\eta}Q_n(\mathrm{d}x_n)$ so that, by (5.6),

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\bar\lambda^{-n\eta}\frac{t^n}{n!}e^{-\bar\lambda t}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(x_n)|Q_n(\mathrm{d}x_n)\right)^{1+\eta}\leq\sum_{n\geq 1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(x)|^{1+\eta}P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x). \tag{5.10}$$

Moreover, since for $\alpha\geq 0$ and $x>0$, $x^\alpha\leq e^{\frac{\alpha x}{e}}$, we have, for every $n\geq 1$

$$n^{\frac{1+\eta}{\eta}}\leq C(\eta)^n\,,\quad\text{with}\quad C(\eta)=e^{\frac{1+\eta}{e\eta}}\,. \tag{5.11}$$

We obtain, consequently, that

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\bar\lambda^n n^{\frac{1+\eta}{\eta}}\frac{t^n}{n!}e^{-\bar\lambda t}\leq\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{(\bar\lambda tC(\eta))^n}{n!}e^{-\bar\lambda t}=e^{\bar\lambda t(C(\eta)-1)}-e^{-\bar\lambda t}\,. \tag{5.12}$$

We obtain the statement by plugging (5.10) and (5.12) into (5.9). □

We are now ready to prove Proposition 3.3.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. By Proposition 2.2, for $t\geq 0$, $\psi_t\in L^{1+\varepsilon}(P_t)$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\psi_t(x)-P_t(\psi_t)|^{1+\varepsilon}P_t(\mathrm{d}x)\leq 2^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}\mathbb{E}\left[|X_t|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}+|\widetilde{X}_t|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}\right], \tag{5.13}$$

where the right-hand side is assumed to be locally bounded for $t\in[0,+\infty)$. Applying (5.4) with $f(x)=|\psi_t(x)-P_t(\psi_t)|^{1+\delta}$ and $\eta=\frac{\varepsilon-\delta}{1+\delta}$, we deduce the first statement.

For $r,t>0$, using Hölder's inequality, then a convexity inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\lambda(x)k(x,\mathrm{d}y)|\psi_t(y)-\psi_t(x)|\right)^{1+\delta}P_r(\mathrm{d}x)\\
&\le \bar\lambda^\delta\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\lambda(x)k(x,\mathrm{d}y)|\psi_t(y)-P_t(\psi_t)+P_t(\psi_t)-\psi_t(x)|^{1+\delta}P_r(\mathrm{d}x)\\
&\le (2\bar\lambda)^\delta\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\lambda(x)k(x,\mathrm{d}y)|\psi_t(y)-P_t(\psi_t)|^{1+\delta}P_r(\mathrm{d}x)+\bar\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\psi_t(x)-P_t(\psi_t)|^{1+\delta}P_r(\mathrm{d}x)\right)
\end{aligned}\tag{5.14}$$

By (5.7) with $\eta=\frac{\varepsilon-\delta}{2(1+\delta)}$ and (5.1),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\lambda(x)k(x,\mathrm{d}y)|\psi_t(y)-P_t(\psi_t)|^{1+\delta}P_r(\mathrm{d}x)\le C_{\frac{\varepsilon-\delta}{2(1+\delta)}}(r)\left(\frac1r\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\psi_t(x)-P_t(\psi_t)|^{1+\frac{\delta+\varepsilon}{2}}P_r(\mathrm{d}x)\right)^{\frac{2(1+\delta)}{2+\delta+\varepsilon}}$$

where the right-hand side is smaller than a function of (r,t) locally bounded on $(0,+\infty)^2$ multiplied by $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\psi_t(x)-P_t(\psi_t)|^{1+\varepsilon}P_t(\mathrm{d}x)\right)^{\frac{1+\delta}{1+\varepsilon}}$ according to (5.4) with $\eta=\frac{\varepsilon-\delta}{2+\varepsilon+\delta}$ (and Jensen's inequality for $r\le t$). By (5.4) with $\eta=\frac{\varepsilon-\delta}{1+\delta}$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\psi_t(x)-P_t(\psi_t)|^{1+\delta}P_r(\mathrm{d}x)$ is also smaller than $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\psi_t(x)-P_t(\psi_t)|^{1+\varepsilon}P_t(\mathrm{d}x)\right)^{\frac{1+\delta}{1+\varepsilon}}$ multiplied by a function of (r,t) locally bounded on $(0,+\infty)^2$. Plugging these bounds in (5.14) and using (5.13), we conclude that

$$(r,t)\mapsto\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\lambda(x)k(x,\mathrm{d}y)|\psi_t(y)-\psi_t(x)|\right)^{1+\delta}P_r(\mathrm{d}x)$$

is locally bounded on $(0,+\infty)^2$.

Starting from (5.14) with $\delta=0$ and $r=t$, using (5.7) with $\eta=\varepsilon$ and (5.13), we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\lambda(x)k(x,\mathrm{d}y)|\psi_t(y)-\psi_t(x)|P_t(\mathrm{d}x)\le 2^\varrho\left(C_\varepsilon(t)t^{-\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}+\bar\lambda\right)\mathbb{E}^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}\left[|X_t|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}+|\widetilde X_t|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}\right].$$

Since $t\mapsto C_\varepsilon(t)$ and $t\mapsto\mathbb{E}\left[|X_t|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}+|\widetilde X_t|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}\right]$ are locally bounded on $[0,+\infty)$ and $t\mapsto t^{-\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}$ is locally integrable, we conclude that $t\mapsto\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\lambda(x)k(x,\mathrm{d}y)|\psi_t(y)-\psi_t(x)|P_t(\mathrm{d}x)$ is locally integrable on $[0,+\infty)$. □

We now give a sufficient condition for $t\mapsto\mathbb{E}[|X_t|^\alpha]$ to be locally bounded.

Lemma 5.5. *Assume that* $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\lambda(x)\le\bar\lambda<\infty$ *and let* $\alpha\ge1$. *If*

$$\bar k_\alpha^X=\max\left(\mathbb{E}[|X_0|^\alpha],\sup_x\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}k(x,\mathrm{d}y)|y-x|^\alpha\right)<+\infty\,,\tag{5.15}$$

then for every $t\ge0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|x|^\alpha P_t(\mathrm{d}x)\le\bar k_\alpha^X\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1}\frac{(n+1)^\alpha}{n!}(\bar\lambda t)^n+\frac{(\lceil\alpha\rceil+1)^\alpha}{\lceil\alpha\rceil!}(\bar\lambda t)^{\lceil\alpha\rceil}e^{\bar\lambda t}\right),\tag{5.16}$$

where $\lceil\alpha\rceil$ *denotes the integer such that* $\alpha\le\lceil\alpha\rceil<\alpha+1$.

Proof. We observe that, for every $n \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x_n|^\alpha P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x_n) \leq \int_{0=t_0<t_1<\cdots<t_n<t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (n+1)^{\alpha-1} \left(|x_0|^\alpha + |x_1-x_0|^\alpha + \cdots + |x_n - x_{n-1}|^\alpha\right) \\ P_0(\mathrm{d}x_0) \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\lambda(x_j) e^{-\lambda(x_j)(t_{j+1}-t_j)} k(x_j, \mathrm{d}x_{j+1})\right) e^{-\lambda(x_n)(t-t_n)} \mathrm{d}t_0 \cdots \mathrm{d}t_n \\ \leq (n+1)^{\alpha-1} \frac{(\bar\lambda t)^n}{n!} (n+1) \bar k_\alpha^X = (\bar\lambda t)^n \frac{(n+1)^\alpha}{n!} \bar k_\alpha^X \,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

We now observe that, for $\alpha \geq 1$, if $n \geq \lceil \alpha \rceil$

$$\frac{(n+1)^\alpha}{n!} \leq \frac{(n+1)^{\lceil\alpha\rceil}}{n(n-1)\ldots(n-\lceil\alpha\rceil+1)} \times \frac{1}{(n-\lceil\alpha\rceil)!} \leq \frac{(\lceil\alpha\rceil+1)^{\lceil\alpha\rceil}}{\lceil\alpha\rceil!} \times \frac{1}{(n-\lceil\alpha\rceil)!} \,.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[|X_t|^\alpha] &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x_n|^\alpha P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x_n) \\ &\leq \bar k_\alpha^X \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1} \frac{(n+1)^\alpha}{n!} (\bar\lambda t)^n + \frac{(\lceil\alpha\rceil+1)^{\lceil\alpha\rceil}}{\lceil\alpha\rceil!} (\bar\lambda t)^{\lceil\alpha\rceil} \sum_{n\geq\lceil\alpha\rceil} \frac{(\bar\lambda t)^{n-\lceil\alpha\rceil}}{(n-\lceil\alpha\rceil)!} \right) \\ &= \bar k_\alpha^X \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1} \frac{(n+1)^\alpha}{n!} (\bar\lambda t)^n + \frac{(\lceil\alpha\rceil+1)^{\lceil\alpha\rceil}}{\lceil\alpha\rceil!} (\bar\lambda t)^{\lceil\alpha\rceil} e^{\bar\lambda t} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

6. Evolution of the Wasserstein distance between two one-dimensional Piecewise Deterministic Markov Processes (PDMP)

In this section, we are interested in proving the identity (1.8) when $\{P_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{\widetilde P_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ are the marginal laws of two PDMP $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{\widetilde X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$. Let us recall that the infinitesimal generator of a PDMP is given by

$$Lf(x) = V(x)\nabla f(x) + \lambda(x) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x, \mathrm{d}y) \left(f(y) - f(x)\right) \right),$$

where $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is a vector field, while $\lambda(x)$ and $k(x, \mathrm{d}y)$ respectively denote as before the jump intensity and the jump law. To give a sense to (1.8), we need at least to show that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |L\psi_t(x)| P_t(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty$. By the triangular inequality, it is sufficient to upper bound

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V(x)\nabla\psi_t(x)| P_t(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\lambda(x)\psi_t(x)| P_t(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lambda(x) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x, \mathrm{d}y)\psi_t(y) \right| P_t(\mathrm{d}x).$$

By using Proposition 2.2, and under suitable conditions on V, λ and k that ensure in particular the moment boundedness of the PDMP, we see that we can upper bound the second term. We can also upper bound the first one by using Theorem 6.2.4 of Ambrosio et al.. (2008) that gives $|\nabla\psi_t(x)| = \varrho |T_t(x) - x|^{\varrho-1} \leq \varrho 2^{\varrho-1} (|T_t(x)|^{\varrho-1} + |x|^{\varrho-1})$, $P_t(\mathrm{d}x)$-a.e., where T_t is the optimal transport map from P_t to $\widetilde P_t$. Thus, the main difficulty is to upper bound $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lambda(x) | \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x, \mathrm{d}y)\psi_t(y) | P_t(\mathrm{d}x)$. In the case of pure jump Markov processes, we are able to handle this term thanks to Lemma 5.3 and the remarkable property (5.6) on the marginal probability measures. For general PDMP, we no longer have this property, and

our strategy here will be to estimate the difference between $\psi_t(y)$ and $\psi_t(x)$. To do so, we will work in dimension one ($d = 1$) and use the explicit formulas (1.5) for the Kantorovich potentials.

6.1. **Main result.** We consider $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{\widetilde{X}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ two $\mathbb{R}$-valued PDMP with respective marginal laws $\{P_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{\widetilde{P}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and infinitesimal generators given by

$$Lf(x) = V(x)f'(x) + \lambda(x)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x,\mathrm{d}y)\,(f(y)-f(x))\right) \tag{6.1}$$

$$\widetilde{L}f(x) = \widetilde{V}(x)f'(x) + \widetilde{\lambda}(x)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{k}(x,\mathrm{d}y)\,(f(y)-f(x))\right). \tag{6.2}$$

We will work under the following assumptions for both processes.

Assumption 6.1. *(Assumption on V, λ).*

(i) The vector field V is locally Lipschitz and bounded.

(ii) The intensity of the jumps $\lambda(x)$ is a continuous function of x, bounded from above, and we denote $\bar{\lambda} = \sup_x \lambda(x) < \infty$.

Assumption 6.2. *(Assumption on the jump kernel $k(x,\mathrm{d}y)$).*

(iii) Jumps are bounded: $\exists M > 0,\ \forall x \in \mathbb{R},\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{\{|x-y|>M\}} k(x,\mathrm{d}y) = 0$.

(iv) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda(x) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} k(x,\,\mathrm{d}y)\mathrm{d}x$ admits a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure $\mathrm{d}y$.

(v) $x \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto k(x,\mathrm{d}y)$ is continuous for the weak convergence topology.

Assumption $\widehat{\text{6.2.}}$ *Assumptions 6.2 (iii), (v) and*

$\widehat{\text{(iv)}}$ If $P(\{x\}) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda(x)k(x,\,\{y\})P(\mathrm{d}x) = 0$.

Let us note that Assumption $\widehat{(iv)}$ is satisfied if for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\{x \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda(x)k(x,\,\{y\}) > 0\}$ is countable.

Last, we will need in our analysis to work with marginal distributions that have some specific properties. For a probability measure $P(\mathrm{d}x)$ on $\mathbb{R}$, we denote by $F(x) = P((-\infty, x])$ its cumulative distribution function and $\bar{F}(x) = 1 - F(x)$. For $\varrho \geq 1$, we define the following subset of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\varrho = \{&P(\mathrm{d}x),\ s.t.\ F \text{ is continuous increasing},\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^\varrho P(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty, \\ &\exists c, C > 0, \forall y > 0,\ \sup_x \frac{F(x+y)}{F(x)} \leq ce^{Cy},\ \sup_x \frac{\bar{F}(x-y)}{\bar{F}(x)} \leq ce^{Cy}\},\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_\varrho = \{P(\mathrm{d}x) = p(x)\mathrm{d}x,\ s.t.\ P \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\varrho\}. \tag{6.4}$$

In particular, a probability measure of $\mathcal{P}_\varrho$ has a density that is almost everywhere positive. The next lemma ensures some nice properties of the optimal transport map between two probability measures in $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\varrho$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let P and $\widetilde{P}$ denote two probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$ with respective cumulative distribution functions F and $\widetilde{F}$. We assume that F is continuous and denote $T(x) = \widetilde{F}^{-1}(F(x))$ the associated optimal transport map.*

(i) If $\widetilde{F}$ is increasing, then T is continuous and the Kantorovich potential ψ given by (1.5) is C^1.

(ii) If $\forall y>0,\ \sup_x \frac{F(x+y)}{F(x)} \le ce^{Cy},\ \sup_x \frac{\bar F(x-y)}{\bar F(x)} \le ce^{Cy}$, *then we have*

$$\forall q>0,\ \forall y>0,\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |T(x\pm y)|^q P(\mathrm{d}x) \le ce^{Cy}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^q \widetilde P(\mathrm{d}x).$$

Notice that the existence of a positive density for $\widetilde P$ is sufficient to ensure that $\widetilde F$ is increasing.

Proof. Point (i) is obvious since the hypothesis ensures that $\widetilde F^{-1}$ is continuous. For Point (ii), note that we necessarily have $c\ge 1$. The result for $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |T(x-y)|^q P(\mathrm{d}x)$ is a corollary of Proposition 2.3 with $\varphi_y(u)=ce^{Cy}-1$ and $\delta=+\infty$. The result for $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |T(x+y)|^q P(\mathrm{d}x)$ is obtained by symmetry, looking at the optimal transport between the images of P and $\widetilde P$ by $x\mapsto -x$. □

We now state the main result of this section.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $\varrho>1$. Suppose that both the processes $\{X_t\}_{t\ge0}$ and $\{\widetilde X\}_{t\ge0}$ are real valued PDMP satisfying Assumptions 6.1, 6.2 with initial marginals $P_0,\widetilde P_0\in\mathcal{P}_{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}$ for some $\varepsilon>0$. Then for every $t\ge0$*

$$W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t,\widetilde P_t)-W_\varrho^\varrho(P_0,\widetilde P_0)=-\int_0^t\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} L\,\psi_r(x)\,P_r(\mathrm{d}x)+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\widetilde L\,\widetilde\psi_r(x)\,\widetilde P_r(\mathrm{d}x)\right)\mathrm{d}r\,. \tag{6.5}$$

This result remains valid if P_0 or $\widetilde P_0$ belong to the larger set $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}$ and the corresponding processes satisfy Assumption 6.2 instead of 6.2.

The assumption on the initial laws may at first sight seem rather restrictive. However, it is always possible to approximate them by using the following lemma.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $\varrho\ge1$. Let X_0,Z be independent real random variables such that $\mathbb{E}[|X_0|^\varrho]<\infty$ and Z follows the density $p_Z(x)=\frac12 e^{-|x|}$. Then, for any $\eta>0$, $X_0+\eta Z\in\mathcal{P}_\varrho$.*

Proof. We first observe that $p_Z(x)\mathrm{d}x\in\mathcal{P}_\varrho$, since $F_Z(x)=\mathbf{1}_{\{x<0\}}\frac12 e^x+\mathbf{1}_{\{x\ge0\}}(1-\frac12 e^{-x})$ satisfies $F_Z(x+y)\le F_Z(x)e^y$ for any $x\in\mathbb{R},y>0$. This is clear when $x+y\le0$. When $x\le0$ and $x+y\ge0$, this is true since $1-\frac12 e^{-(x+y)}\le\frac12 e^y e^{-x}\iff e^x\le\cosh(y)$. When $0\le x$, we have $1-\frac12 e^{-(x+y)}\le e^y(1-\frac12 e^{-x})\iff 1+e^{-x}\sinh(y)\le e^y$ which holds since $1+\sinh(y)\le e^y$.

Clearly, $\mathbb{E}[|X_0+\eta Z|^\varrho]<\infty$. Since $\mathbb{P}(X_0+\eta Z\le x)=\mathbb{E}[F_Z((x-X_0)/\eta)]$, this law satisfies again the estimates in (6.3) with $c=1$ and $C=1/\eta$. Besides, the density of $X_0+\eta Z$ is equal to $\mathbb{E}[p_Z((x-X_0)/\eta)]/\eta>0$. □

Corollary 6.6. *Let P_0 and $\widetilde P_0$ be two probability laws on $\mathbb{R}$ with finite moments of order $\varrho(1+\varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon>0$. For $\eta>0$, we define $P_0^\eta\in\mathcal{P}_{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}$ and (resp. $\widetilde P_0^\eta\in\mathcal{P}_{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}$) as the law of $X_0+\eta Z$ (resp. $\widetilde{X_0}+\eta Z$) where X_0 (resp. $\widetilde{X_0}$) and Z are independent and respectively distributed according to P_0, $\widetilde P_0$ and the density $p_Z(x)=\frac12 e^{-|x|}$. We denote P_t^η (resp. $\widetilde P_t^\eta$) the marginal law at time t of the PDMP with generator L (resp. $\widetilde L$). Then, under Assumptions 6.1 and 6.2, we have*

$$W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t,\widetilde P_t)-W_\varrho^\varrho(P_0,\widetilde P_0)=-\lim_{\eta\to0}\int_0^t\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} L\,\psi_r^\eta(x)\,P_r^\eta(\mathrm{d}x)+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\widetilde L\,\widetilde\psi_r^\eta(x)\,\widetilde P_r^\eta(\mathrm{d}x)\right)\mathrm{d}r, \tag{6.6}$$

where $(\psi_r^\eta,\widetilde\psi_r^\eta)$ are Kantorovich potentials for P_r^η and $\widetilde P_r^\eta$.

Proof. Let $t \geq 0$. On the one hand, we have from Proposition 6.8 below uniform in $\eta \in [0,1]$ bounds on the moments of order $\varrho(1+\varepsilon)$ of the probability measures P_t^η and $\widetilde{P}_t^\eta$. On the other hand, we have the weak convergence of P_t^η (resp. $\widetilde{P}_t^\eta$) to P_t (resp. $\widetilde{P}_t$) when $\eta \to 0$. This can be obtained by looking at the corresponding martingale problems and using standard tightness arguments, exactly as in the proof of Proposition A.1. Theorem 6.9 of Villani (2008) then gives $W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t^\eta, \widetilde{P}_t^\eta) \underset{\eta\to 0}{\to} W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t)$. We finally get (6.6) by Theorem 6.4. □

6.2. **Proof of Theorem 6.4.** To prove Theorem 6.4 for the processes $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{\widetilde{X}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, we will approximate them by pure jump processes in order to use Theorem 3.1. To do so, we define, for $\mu \geq 1$ the following jump kernel

$$k^\mu(x, \mathrm{d}y) = \frac{1}{\mu + \lambda(x)} \left(\mu \delta_{\left\{\Phi\left(x; \frac{1}{\mu}\right)\right\}}(\mathrm{d}y) + \lambda(x) k(x, \mathrm{d}y) \right), \tag{6.7}$$

where $\Phi(x,s)$ is the flow of the differential equation driven by V, i.e. the solution of

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi(x,t) = V(\Phi(x,t)),\ \Phi(x,0) = x, \quad \forall\, x \in \mathbb{R}\,. \tag{6.8}$$

We define the process $\{X_t^\mu\}_{t\geq 0}$ as a pure jump Markov process with jump intensity $\mu + \lambda(x)$, transition kernel $k^\mu(x, \mathrm{d}y)$ and initial distribution P_0. It has the following infinitesimal generator

$$L^\mu f(x) = \mu \left[f\left(\Phi\left(x, \frac{1}{\mu}\right)\right) - f(x) \right] + \lambda(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(y) - f(x)) k(x, \mathrm{d}y)\,. \tag{6.9}$$

We note P_t^μ the probability law of X_t^μ. From Proposition A.1, the process $\{X_t^\mu\}_{t\geq 0}$ weakly converges to the process $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ when $\mu \to +\infty$. By a slight abuse of notation, we will set $X^{+\infty} = X$, $L^{+\infty} = L$ and $P_t^{+\infty} = P_t$. The following remark recalls a usual way to sample the processes X and X^μ.

Remark 6.7. *At some point, it will be convenient to work with a pathwise representation of the processes X^μ and X. To do so, let us consider N a Poisson process of parameter $\bar{\lambda}$, N^μ a Poisson process of parameter μ and $(U_i^1)_{i\geq 1}$,$(U_i^2)_{i\geq 1}$ two sequences of independent uniform random variables on $[0,1]$, that are independent and independent from the initial value $X_0 \sim P_0$. Let us set for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $u \in (0,1)$*

$$H(x,u) = \inf\{z \ :\ k(x, (-\infty, z]) > u\}.$$

Then, the infinitesimal generators of the following processes

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t V(X_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{U^2_{N_s} \leq \lambda(X_{s^-})/\bar{\lambda}\}} H(X_{s^-}, U^1_{N_s})\mathrm{d}N_s, \tag{6.10}$$

$$X_t^\mu = X_0 + \int_0^t \Phi\left(X^\mu_{s^-}, \frac{1}{\mu}\right)\mathrm{d}N_s^\mu + \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{U^2_{N_s} \leq \lambda(X^\mu_{s^-})/\bar{\lambda}\}} H(X^\mu_{s^-}, U^1_{N_s})\mathrm{d}N_s, \tag{6.11}$$

are respectively L and L^μ.

To prove Theorem 6.4, we start by a technical result stating that the marginal laws of the PDMP and its approximations stay in the set $\mathcal{P}_\varrho$ of probability measures. It also gives uniform constants in μ that will be useful to handle limits when $\mu \to +\infty$. Then, we prove two technical lemmas. The first one brings on the integrability of Kantorovich potentials for probability laws in $\mathcal{P}_\varrho$. The last lemma shows the local uniform convergence of the Kantorovich potential given by (1.5) between P_t^μ and $\widetilde{P}_t^\mu$ toward the same Kantorovich

potential between P_t and $\widetilde{P}_t$. From now on, we denote by F_t, $\widetilde{F}_t$, F_t^μ and $\widetilde{F}_t^\mu$ the respective cumulative distribution functions of P_t, $\widetilde{P}_t$, P_t^μ and $\widetilde{P}_t^\mu$.

Proposition 6.8. • *Let us assume that Assumptions 6.1 and 6.2 (iii) hold. Then, we have for any $t > 0$, $q > 0$,*

$$\sup_{\mu\in[1/t,+\infty]} \mathbb{E}[|X_t^\mu - X_0|^q] \le 2^{(q-1)^+} \left(\|V\|_\infty^q t^q (q/e)^q e^e + M^q (q/e)^q \exp(\bar\lambda t(e-1))\right). \quad (6.12)$$

- *Let us assume that $P_0(\mathrm{d}x) = p_0(x)\mathrm{d}x$ with $p_0(x) > 0$ $\mathrm{d}x$-a.e. (resp. F_0 is continuous increasing) and Assumption 6.2 (iv) (resp. $\widehat{(iv)}$) hold. Then, for any $t \ge 0$, $P_t(\mathrm{d}x) = p_t(x)\mathrm{d}x$ and $P_t^\mu(\mathrm{d}x) = p_t^\mu(x)\mathrm{d}x$ with $p_t(x)p_t^\mu(x) > 0$ $\mathrm{d}x$-a.e. (resp. F_t and F_t^μ are continuous increasing).*
- *Let us assume that Assumptions 6.1 and 6.2 (iii) hold. If $C_0, c_0 > 0$ denote constants such that*

$$\forall y > 0,\ \sup_x \frac{F_0(x+y)}{F_0(x)} \le c_0 e^{C_0 y}, \quad \sup_x \frac{\bar F_0(x-y)}{\bar F_0(x)} \le c_0 e^{C_0 y},$$

we have for any $\mu \in [1, +\infty]$,

$$\forall y > 0,\ \sup_x \frac{F_t^\mu(x+y)}{F_t^\mu(x)} \le c_t e^{C_0 y}, \quad \sup_x \frac{\bar F_t^\mu(x-y)}{\bar F_t^\mu(x)} \le c_t e^{C_0 y}, \quad (6.13)$$

with $c_t = c_0^2 \exp\left(t(e^{C_0\|V\|_\infty} - e^{-C_0\|V\|_\infty}) + \bar\lambda t(e^{C_0 M} - e^{-C_0 M})\right)$.

Since $\mathbb{E}[|X_t^\mu - X_0|^\varrho] \le 2^{\varrho-1}(\mathbb{E}[|X_t^\mu|^\varrho] + \mathbb{E}[|X_0|^\varrho])$, we easily get the following corollary.

Corollary 6.9. *Let Assumptions 6.1, 6.2 (iii) and (iv) (resp. $\widehat{(iv)}$) hold. Then, if $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}_\varrho$ (resp. $P_0 \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\varrho$) we have that*

$$\forall t \ge 0, \mu \in [1, +\infty],\ P_t^\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\varrho \text{ (resp. } P_t^\mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\varrho).$$

Proof of Proposition 6.8. Moments. From (6.11), we have $|X_t^\mu - X_0| \le \frac{\|V\|_\infty}{\mu} N_t^\mu + M N_t$ and thus $\mathbb{E}[|X_t^\mu - X_0|^q] \le 2^{(q-1)^+}\left(\left(\frac{\|V\|_\infty}{\mu}\right)^q \mathbb{E}[(N_t^\mu)^q] + M^q \mathbb{E}[N_t^q]\right)$. Since $x^q \le (q/e)^q e^x$ for $x \ge 0$, we get $\mathbb{E}[(N_t^\mu/(\mu t))^q] \le (q/e)^q \exp(\mu t(e^{1/(\mu t)} - 1))$ and $\mathbb{E}[N_t^q] \le (q/e)^q \exp(\bar\lambda t(e-1))$. We thus obtain (6.12) since $s(e^{1/s} - 1) \le e$ for $s \ge 1$. From (6.10), we have similarly $|X_t - X_0| \le \|V\|_\infty t + M N_t$ and we deduce (6.12) for $\mu = +\infty$.

Density (under Assumption 6.2 (iv)). Let $\widetilde{k}^\mu(x, \mathrm{d}y) = \frac{\lambda(x)}{\mu+\bar\lambda} k(x, \mathrm{d}y) + \frac{\mu}{\mu+\bar\lambda}\delta_{\Phi(x,1/\mu)}(\mathrm{d}y) + \frac{\bar\lambda - \lambda(x)}{\mu+\bar\lambda}\delta_x(\mathrm{d}y)$ and p_0 denotes the density of P_0. Then, similarly to (5.1) and (5.2), we have $P_t^\mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \sum_{n\ge 0} P_{n,t}^\mu(\mathrm{d}x)$ with $P_{0,t}^\mu(\mathrm{d}x_0) = e^{-(\mu+\bar\lambda)t} p_0(x_0)\mathrm{d}x_0$ and for $n \ge 1$,

$$P_{n,t}^\mu(\mathrm{d}x_n) = e^{-(\mu+\bar\lambda)t} \frac{(\mu+\bar\lambda)^n t^n}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p_0(x_0)\mathrm{d}x_0 \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \widetilde{k}^\mu(x_j, \mathrm{d}x_{j+1}).$$

By induction, it is sufficient to check that for a probability density p on $\mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x_0)\mathrm{d}x_0 \widetilde{k}^\mu(x_0, \mathrm{d}x_1) =& \frac{1}{\mu+\bar\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda(x_0)p(x_0)\mathrm{d}x_0 k(x_0, \mathrm{d}x_1) \\ &+ \frac{\mu}{\mu+\bar\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x_0)\mathrm{d}x_0 \delta_{\Phi(x_0,1/\mu)}(\mathrm{d}x_1) + p(x_1)\frac{\bar\lambda - \lambda(x_1)}{\mu+\bar\lambda}\mathrm{d}x_1\end{aligned}$$

is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathrm{d}x_1$. On the one hand, $p(x_0)\lambda(x_0)k(x_0,\mathrm{d}x_1)\mathrm{d}x_0$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $e^{-\frac{x_0^2}{2}}\lambda(x_0)k(x_0,\mathrm{d}x_1)\mathrm{d}x_0$. From Assumption (6.2)(iv), we get that for every Lebesgue negligible set $A\subset\mathbb{R}$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x_0)\lambda(x_0)\int_A k(x_0,\mathrm{d}x_1)\mathrm{d}x_0=0$ and therefore $\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x_0)\lambda(x_0)\mathrm{d}x_0 k(x_0,\mathrm{d}x_1)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathrm{d}x_1$. On the other hand, for a bounded measurable function $f:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x_1)\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x_0)\delta_{\Phi(x_0,1/\mu)}(\mathrm{d}x_1)\mathrm{d}x_0=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\Phi(x_0,1/\mu))p(x_0)\mathrm{d}x_0.$$

For any $t>0$, the flow $x\mapsto\Phi(x,t)$ is bijective continuous and increasing, and its inverse function is $x\mapsto\Phi(x,-t)$. We get (see for example Proposition 4.10 p. 9 of Revuz and Yor (1999))

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\Phi(x_0,1/\mu))p(x_0)\mathrm{d}x_0=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x')p(\Phi(x',-1/\mu))\mathrm{d}\Phi(x',-1/\mu).$$

Since V is bounded and locally Lipschitz, $x\mapsto\Phi(x,-t)$ is locally Lipschitz, which gives that it is almost everywhere differentiable and $\mathrm{d}\Phi(x',-1/\mu)=\partial_x\Phi(x',-t)\mathrm{d}x'$. Last, the inequality $P_t^\mu(\mathrm{d}x)\geq P_{0,t}^\mu(\mathrm{d}x)$ gives the almost everywhere positivity of the density.

For X_t (which corresponds to $\mu=\infty$), we have similarly $P_t(\mathrm{d}x)=\sum_{n\geq 0}P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x)$ with $P_{0,t}(\mathrm{d}x_0)=e^{-\bar\lambda t}p_0(\Phi(x_0,-t))\partial_x\Phi(x_0,-t)\mathrm{d}x_0$ and for $n\geq 1$,

$$P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x_n)=e^{-\bar\lambda t}\bar\lambda^n\int_{0\leq t_1\leq t_2\leq\ldots\leq t_n\leq t} p_{t_1,\ldots,t_n}(\Phi(x_n,t_n-t))\partial_x\Phi(x_n,t_n-t)\mathrm{d}t_1\ldots\mathrm{d}t_n\mathrm{d}x_n,$$

$$p_{t_1,\ldots,t_n}(x_n)\mathrm{d}x_n:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p_0(x_0)\mathrm{d}x_0\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\widetilde{k}(t_{j+1}-t_j,x_j,\mathrm{d}x_{j+1})$$

with $t_0=0$ and $\widetilde{k}(t,x,\mathrm{d}y)=\frac{\lambda(\Phi(x,t))}{\bar\lambda}k(\Phi(x,t),\mathrm{d}y)+\left(1-\frac{\lambda(\Phi(x,t))}{\bar\lambda}\right)\delta_{\Phi(x,t)}(\mathrm{d}y)$. This representation can be obtained from (6.10) by observing that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p_0(x_0)\mathrm{d}x_0\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\widetilde{k}(t_{j+1}-t_j,x_j,\mathrm{d}x_{j+1})$ is the law of X_{T_n} knowing that $\{N_t=n,T_1=t_1,\ldots,T_n=t_n\}$ where $T_i=\inf\{t\geq 0,N_t=i\}$. By induction on n, we check that this law is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathrm{d}x_n$, which makes the definition of $p_{t_1,\ldots,t_n}$ valid. It is sufficient to check this for $n=1$, and we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} p_0(x_0)\mathrm{d}x_0\lambda(\Phi(x_0,t))k(\Phi(x_0,t),\mathrm{d}x_1)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} p_0(\Phi(x',-t))\lambda(x')\partial_x\Phi(x',-t)k(x',\mathrm{d}x_1)\mathrm{d}x',$$

which is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathrm{d}x_1$ by Assumption (6.2)(iv). By using the flow, we get that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} p_0(x_0)\mathrm{d}x_0\left(1-\frac{\lambda(\Phi(x_0,t))}{\bar\lambda}\right)\delta_{\Phi(x_0,t)}(\mathrm{d}x_1)$ is also absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathrm{d}x_1$. Therefore, the density $p_{t_1,\ldots,t_n}(x_n)$ exists. It is then clear that $P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x_n)=\mathbb{P}(X_t\in\mathrm{d}x_n,N_t=n)$ admits the above density. Last, $P_t(\mathrm{d}x)\geq P_{0,t}(\mathrm{d}x)$ gives the positivity of the density since $\partial_x\Phi(x,-t)$ is almost everywhere positive.

Continuous increasing cumulative distribution functions (under Assumption (iv)). We follow the same steps. We use that $P_t^\mu(\mathrm{d}x)=\sum_{n\geq 0}P_{n,t}^\mu(\mathrm{d}x)$ with $P_{0,t}^\mu(\mathrm{d}x_0)=e^{-(\mu+\bar\lambda)t}P_0(\mathrm{d}x_0)$ and for $n\geq 1$,

$$P_{n,t}^\mu(\mathrm{d}x_n)=e^{-(\mu+\bar\lambda)t}\frac{(\mu+\bar\lambda)^n t^n}{n!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_0(\mathrm{d}x_0)\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\widetilde{k}^\mu(x_j,\mathrm{d}x_{j+1}).$$

Let P be a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $P(\{x\}) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We have for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P(\mathrm{d}x)\widetilde{k}^{\mu}(x,\{y\}) = \frac{1}{\mu+\bar{\lambda}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda(x) P(\mathrm{d}x) k(x,\{y\}) + \frac{\mu}{\mu+\bar{\lambda}} P(\{\Phi(y,-1/\mu)\}) + \frac{\bar{\lambda}-\lambda(x_1)}{\mu+\bar{\lambda}} P(\{y\}) = 0,$$

by Assumption *(iv)*. By induction, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $P^{\mu}_{n,t}$ does not weigh points. Therefore, F^{μ}_t is continuous. It is also increasing since $x \mapsto P^{\mu}_{0,t}((-\infty,x])$ is increasing.

We can do similar calculations for $\{X\}_{t\geq 0}$, and show that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_n \leq t$, $P_{t_1,\ldots,t_n}(\mathrm{d}x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_0(\mathrm{d}x_0) \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \widetilde{k}(t_{j+1}-t_j, x_j, \mathrm{d}x_{j+1})$ does not weigh points. Therefore, $x_n \mapsto P_{n,t}((-\infty,x_n]) = e^{-\bar{\lambda}t}\bar{\lambda}^n \int_{0\leq t_1\leq t_2\leq\ldots\leq t_n\leq t} P_{t_1,\ldots,t_n}((-\infty,\Phi(x_n,t_n - t)])\mathrm{d}t_1\ldots\mathrm{d}t_n$ is continuous. Since $x \mapsto P_{0,t}((-\infty,x]) = e^{-\bar{\lambda}t}P_0((-\infty,\Phi(x,-t)])$ is continuous increasing and $P_t(\mathrm{d}x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} P_{n,t}(\mathrm{d}x)$, we get that F_t is continuous increasing.

Tails. From (6.11), we have $X^{\mu}_t \geq X_0 - \|V\|_{\infty} N^{\mu}_t/\mu - MN_t$. Since $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\varrho}$ and using the independence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\mu}_t(x+y) &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[F_0\left(x+y+\|V\|_{\infty}N^{\mu}_t/\mu + MN_t\right)\right] \\ &\leq c_0 F_0(x) e^{C_0 y} \exp\left(\mu t(e^{C_0\frac{\|V\|_{\infty}}{\mu}} - 1) + \bar{\lambda}t(e^{C_0 M} - 1)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Looking at the right tail, we also get

$$\bar{F}^{\mu}_t(x) \geq \mathbb{E}\left[\bar{F}_0(x+\|V\|_{\infty}N^{\mu}_t/\mu + MN_t)\right] \geq \frac{1}{c_0}\bar{F}_0(x)\exp\left(\mu t(e^{-C_0\frac{\|V\|_{\infty}}{\mu}} - 1) + \bar{\lambda}t(e^{-C_0 M} - 1)\right).$$

Similarly, the other inequality $X^{\mu}_t \leq X_0 + \|V\|_{\infty}N^{\mu}_t/\mu + MN_t$ obtained from (6.11) leads to

$$F^{\mu}_t(x) \geq \mathbb{E}\left[F_0(x-\|V\|_{\infty}N^{\mu}_t/\mu - MN_t)\right] \geq \frac{1}{c_0}F_0(x)\exp\left(\mu t(e^{-C_0\frac{\|V\|_{\infty}}{\mu}} - 1) + \bar{\lambda}t(e^{-C_0 M} - 1)\right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F}^{\mu}_t(x-y) &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\bar{F}_0(x-y-\|V\|_{\infty}N^{\mu}_t/\mu - MN_t)\right] \\ &\leq c_0\bar{F}_0(x)e^{C_0 y}\exp\left(\mu t(e^{C_0\frac{\|V\|_{\infty}}{\mu}} - 1) + \bar{\lambda}t(e^{C_0 M} - 1)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain that both $\frac{F^{\mu}_t(x+y)}{F^{\mu}_t(x)}$ and $\frac{\bar{F}^{\mu}_t(x-y)}{\bar{F}^{\mu}_t(x)}$ are upper bounded by

$$c_0^2 \exp\left(\mu t(e^{C_0\frac{\|V\|_{\infty}}{\mu}} - e^{-C_0\frac{\|V\|_{\infty}}{\mu}}) + \bar{\lambda}t(e^{C_0 M} - e^{-C_0 M})\right)e^{C_0 y}.$$

From (6.10), we check by similar calculations that the upper bound is also true for $\mu = +\infty$ with $\mu t(e^{C_0\frac{\|V\|_{\infty}}{\mu}} - e^{-C_0\frac{\|V\|_{\infty}}{\mu}}) = 2C_0\|V\|_{\infty}t$. Since $[1,+\infty] \ni \mu \mapsto \mu t(e^{C_0\frac{\|V\|_{\infty}}{\mu}} - e^{-C_0\frac{\|V\|_{\infty}}{\mu}})$ is nonincreasing, we get (6.13). □

Lemma 6.10. *Let $\varrho > 1$. We assume that Assumption 6.1 holds and that $P, \widetilde{P} \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\varrho\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \geq 1$. Let $\psi \in C^1$ be such that $\psi'(x) = \varrho|x - T(x)|^{\varrho-2}(T(x)-x)$ with $T(x) = \widetilde{F}^{-1}(F(x))$ (i.e. this is a Kantorovich potential associated to the ϱ-Wasserstein distance from (1.5)). Let $c, C > 0$ denote constants such that (6.3) holds for P. Then, for any*

$\mu \in [1, +\infty]$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |L^\mu \psi(x)|^\alpha P(\mathrm{d}x) \leq 2^{\alpha-1}\|V\|_\infty^\alpha \varrho^\alpha 2^{(\alpha(\varrho-1)-1)^+}\left(2ce^{C\|V\|_\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|x|^{\alpha(\varrho-1)}\widetilde{P}(\mathrm{d}x)\right. \tag{6.14}$$
$$\left. + 2^{(\alpha(\varrho-1)-1)^+}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|x|^{\alpha(\varrho-1)}P(\mathrm{d}x) + \|V\|_\infty^{\alpha(\varrho-1)}\right)\right)$$
$$+ 2^{\alpha-1}\bar{\lambda}^\alpha 2^{\alpha\varrho-1}\left((1+2^{\alpha\varrho-1})\int_{\mathbb{R}}|x|^{\alpha\varrho}P(\mathrm{d}x) + 2^{\alpha\varrho-1}M^{\alpha\varrho}\right.$$
$$\left. + (1+2ce^{CM})\int_{\mathbb{R}}|x|^{\alpha\varrho}\widetilde{P}(\mathrm{d}x)\right).$$

Proof. We have $\psi(\Phi(x,1/\mu)) - \psi(x) = \int_0^{1/\mu}\psi'(\Phi(x,s))V(\Phi(x,s))\mathrm{d}s$ and thus

$$|L^\mu\psi(x)| \leq \mu\|V\|_\infty\int_0^{1/\mu}|\psi'(\Phi(x,s))|\mathrm{d}s + \bar{\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\psi(y)-\psi(x)|k(x,\mathrm{d}y).$$

Also, we obtain $|L^{+\infty}\psi(x)| \leq \|V\|_\infty|\psi'(x)| + \bar{\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\psi(y)-\psi(x)|k(x,\mathrm{d}y)$, which leads to

$$\forall \mu \in [1,+\infty], |L^\mu\psi(x)| \leq \|V\|_\infty \max_{|y|\leq\|V\|_\infty}|\psi'(x+y)| + \bar{\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\psi(y)-\psi(x)|k(x,\mathrm{d}y),$$

and then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}|L^\mu\psi(x)|^\alpha P(\mathrm{d}x) \leq 2^{\alpha-1}\|V\|_\infty^\alpha\int_{\mathbb{R}}\max_{|y|\leq\|V\|_\infty}|\psi'(x+y)|^\alpha P(\mathrm{d}x)$$
$$+ 2^{\alpha-1}\bar{\lambda}^\alpha\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\psi(z)-\psi(x)|^\alpha k(x,\mathrm{d}z)P(\mathrm{d}x)$$

For the first term, we use that $|\psi'(z)|^\alpha = \varrho^\alpha|T(z)-z|^{\alpha(\varrho-1)} \leq \varrho^\alpha 2^{(\alpha(\varrho-1)-1)^+}(|T(z)|^{\alpha(\varrho-1)} + |z|^{\alpha(\varrho-1)})$. Since T is nondecreasing, we get

$$\max_{|y|\leq\|V\|_\infty}|\psi'(x+y)|^\alpha \leq \varrho^\alpha 2^{(\alpha(\varrho-1)-1)^+}\left(\max\left(|T\left(x+\|V\|_\infty\right)|^{\alpha(\varrho-1)}, |T\left(x-\|V\|_\infty\right)|^{\alpha(\varrho-1)}\right)\right.$$
$$\left. + 2^{(\alpha(\varrho-1)-1)^+}(|x|^{\alpha(\varrho-1)} + \|V\|_\infty^{\alpha(\varrho-1)})\right).$$

For the second term, since $\pi(\mathrm{d}x,\mathrm{d}y) = P(\mathrm{d}x)\delta_{T(x)}(\mathrm{d}y)$ achieves the optimal transport between P and $\widetilde{P}$, we get by using (2.1) $P(\mathrm{d}x)P(\mathrm{d}z)$-a.s., F increasing and the continuity of ψ and T that $|\psi(z)-\psi(x)| \leq \max(|T(z)-x|^\varrho, |z-T(x)|^\varrho)$ for all $x,z\in\mathbb{R}$. We obtain $|\psi(z)-\psi(x)|^\alpha \leq 2^{\alpha\varrho-1}(|x|^{\alpha\varrho} + |T(x)|^{\alpha\varrho} + |z|^{\alpha\varrho} + |T(z)|^{\alpha\varrho})$ and thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\psi(z)-\psi(x)|^\alpha k(x,\mathrm{d}z)P(\mathrm{d}x) \leq 2^{\alpha\varrho-1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|x|^{\alpha\varrho}P(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}}|x|^{\alpha\varrho}\widetilde{P}(\mathrm{d}x)\right.$$
$$\left. + 2^{\alpha\varrho-1}\left(M^{\alpha\varrho} + \int_{\mathbb{R}}|x|^{\alpha\varrho}P(\mathrm{d}x)\right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}}(|T(x+M)|^{\alpha\varrho} + |T(x-M)|^{\alpha\varrho})P(\mathrm{d}x)\right).$$

Gathering all the terms and using Lemma 6.3, we get (6.14). □

Lemma 6.11. *Let $\varrho > 1$, $r > 0$. We consider $\psi_r(x) = \int_0^x \varrho|\widetilde{F}_r^{-1}(F_r(y)) - y|^{\varrho-2}(\widetilde{F}_r^{-1}(F_r(y)) - y)\mathrm{d}y$ and $\psi_r^\mu(x) = \int_0^x \varrho|(\widetilde{F}_r^\mu)^{-1}(F_r^\mu(y)) - y|^{\varrho-2}[(\widetilde{F}_r^\mu)^{-1}(F_r^\mu(y)) - y]\mathrm{d}y$. Then, under the assumptions of Theorem 6.4, $L^\mu\psi_r^\mu$ converges locally uniformly to $L\psi_r$.*

Proof. According to Proposition 6.8, the functions F_r, $\widetilde{F}_r$, F_r^μ, $\widetilde{F}_r^\mu$ are continuous and increasing. The convergence in law stated by Proposition A.1 gives the pointwise convergence of F_r^μ (resp. $(\widetilde{F}_r^\mu)^{-1}$) to F_r (resp. $\widetilde{F}_r^{-1}$). Since $x \mapsto (\widetilde{F}_r^\mu)^{-1}(F_r^\mu(x))$ is increasing, a classical result sometimes named as the second Dini theorem gives the local uniform convergence of $(\widetilde{F}_r^\mu)^{-1}(F_r^\mu(x))$ to $\widetilde{F}_r^{-1}(F_r^\mu(x))$. Then, using that $z \mapsto \varrho|z|^{\varrho-2}z$ is $(\varrho-1)$-Hölderian for $1 < \varrho \leq 2$ and locally Lipschitz for $\varrho > 2$, we get local uniform convergence of $(\psi_r^\mu)'(x)$ and $\psi_r^\mu(x) - \psi_r^\mu(0)$ toward $\psi_r'(x)$ and $\psi_r(x) - \psi_r(0)$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} L^\mu\psi_r^\mu(x) - L\psi_r(x) =& \mu\int_0^{\frac{1}{\mu}} [(\psi_r^\mu)'(\Phi(x,s)) - \psi_r'(\Phi(x,s))]V(\Phi(x,s))\mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \mu\int_0^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \psi_r'(\Phi(x,s))V(\Phi(x,s)) - \psi_r'(x)V(x)\mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \lambda(x)\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\psi_r^\mu(y) - \psi_r(y) - \psi_r^\mu(x) + \psi_r(x))k(x,\mathrm{d}y) \end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

Let $a > 0$. For $x \in [-a,a]$ and $\mu \geq 1$ and $s \in [0, 1/\mu]$, $|\Phi(x,s)| \leq a + \|V\|_\infty$. The uniform convergence of $(\psi_r^\mu)'$ on $[-(a+\|V\|_\infty), a+\|V\|_\infty]$ and Assumption 6.1(i) gives the uniform in $x \in [-a,a]$ convergence to zero of the first term of the right-hand-side of (6.15). Similarly, the third term uniformly converges to zero on $[-a,a]$ by using the local uniform convergence of $\psi_r^\mu(x) - \psi_r^\mu(0)$, Assumptions 6.1 (ii) and 6.2 (iii). Last, the second term also converges uniformly to zero on $[-a,a]$ by using that Φ is continuous on $[-a,a] \times [0,1]$, the Heine-Cantor theorem and the continuity of $\psi_r'V$. □

Proof of Theorem 6.4. We use the approximating family of pure jump processes $\{X_t^\mu\}_{\mu\geq 1}$ and $\{\widetilde{X}_t^\mu\}_{\mu\geq 1}$. By using the uniform bound (6.12) on moments of order $\varrho(1+\varepsilon)$ and the convergence in law given by Proposition A.1, we have by Theorem 6.9 of Villani (2008)

$$W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t, \widetilde{P}_t) - W_\varrho^\varrho(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0) = \lim_{\mu\to\infty} W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t^\mu, \widetilde{P}_t^\mu) - W_\varrho^\varrho(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0).$$

Theorem 3.1 yields

$$W_\varrho^\varrho(P_t^\mu, \widetilde{P}_t^\mu) - W_\varrho^\varrho(P_0, \widetilde{P}_0) = -\int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} L^\mu\,\psi_r^\mu(x)\,P_r^\mu(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{L}^\mu\,\widetilde{\psi}_r^\mu(x)\,\widetilde{P}_r^\mu(\mathrm{d}x)\right)\mathrm{d}r.$$

To obtain (6.5), it is sufficient show that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} L^\mu\,\psi_r^\mu(x)\,P_r^\mu(\mathrm{d}x)$ converges for all $r \in [0,t]$ to $\int_{\mathbb{R}} L\,\psi_r(x)\,P_r(\mathrm{d}x)$. Indeed, we can then apply the dominated convergence theorem by using (6.14) and (6.12). For $N > 0$, we define $[z]_N = \max(-N, \min(z,N))$ for any $z \in \mathbb{R}$, and write

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} L^\mu\psi_r^\mu(x)\,P_r^\mu(\mathrm{d}x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} L\psi_r\,P_r(\mathrm{d}x)\right| \le \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(L^\mu\psi_r^\mu(x) - [L^\mu\psi_r^\mu(x)]_N\right)\,P_r^\mu(\mathrm{d}x)\right| + \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left([L^\mu\psi_r^\mu(x)]_N - [L\psi_r(x)]_N\right)\,P_r^\mu(\mathrm{d}x)\right| + \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} [L\psi_r(x)]_N(P_r^\mu(\mathrm{d}x) - P_r(\mathrm{d}x))\right| + \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left([L\psi_r(x)]_N - L\psi_r(x)\right)P_r(\mathrm{d}x)\right|$$

Let $\eta > 0$. Using $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |L^\mu\psi_r^\mu(x)|1_{\{|L^\mu\psi_r^\mu(x)|>N\}}P_r^\mu(\mathrm{d}x) \le N^{-\varepsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |L^\mu\psi_r^\mu(x)|^{(1+\varepsilon)}P_r^\mu(\mathrm{d}x)$ and (6.14) with $\alpha = 1+\varepsilon$, we can find N large enough so that the first and the fourth terms are bounded by $\eta/4$, uniformly in $\mu \ge 1$. For the second term, we use that that the family P_t^μ is tight since it converges weakly to P_t. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathcal{K}} P_r^\mu(\mathrm{d}x) \le \frac{\eta}{16N}$ for any $\mu \ge 1$. From Lemma 6.11, there exists μ_η such that $\sup_{x\in\mathcal{K}} |L^\mu\psi^\mu(x) - L\psi(x)| \le \eta/8$ for $\mu \ge \mu_\eta$. We get

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left([L^\mu\psi_r^\mu(x)]_N - [L\psi_r(x)]_N\right)\,P_r^\mu(\mathrm{d}x)\right| \le 2N\frac{\eta}{16N} + \frac{\eta}{8} = \frac{\eta}{4}.$$

Last, we note that $x \mapsto [L\psi_r(x)]_N$ is bounded and continuous by Assumption 6.1, Assumption 6.2(v) and the continuity of ψ_r'. The weak convergence of P_t^μ gives the existence of $\mu_\eta' \ge \mu_\eta$ such that $\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}[L\psi_r(x)]_N(P_r^\mu(\mathrm{d}x) - P_r(\mathrm{d}x))\right| \le \eta/4$ for $\mu \ge \mu_\eta'$. We get $\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} L^\mu\psi_r^\mu(x)\,P_r^\mu(\mathrm{d}x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} L\psi_r\,P_r(\mathrm{d}x)\right| \le \eta$ for $\mu \ge \mu_\eta'$, which yields the claim. □

Appendix A. Weak convergence of X_t^μ to X_t

Proposition A.1. *Let Assumptions 6.1 and 6.2(iii) and (v) hold. Then, for any $t \ge 0$, P_t^μ converges weakly to P_t when $\mu \to +\infty$.*

Proof. We start by proving that $\{X_t^\mu\}_{t\ge0}$ converges in law to $\{X_t\}_{t\ge0}$ as $\mu \to +\infty$. We know that for every $0 < s < t$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $s_1, \cdots, s_n \in (0,s)$, and all C^∞ functions $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ with compact support, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(f(X_t^\mu) - f(X_s^\mu) - \int_s^t L^\mu f(X_r^\mu)\,\mathrm{d}r\right) g(X_{s_1}^\mu, \cdots, X_{s_n}^\mu)\right] = 0\,, \tag{A.1}$$

where L^μ is defined in (6.9).

Let us first write

$$L^\mu f(x) - Lf(x) = \mu\int_0^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \left[V\left(\Phi\left(x,s\right)\right)f'\left(\Phi\left(x,s\right)\right) - V(x)f'(x)\right]\mathrm{d}s.$$

Since f has a compact support, Vf' is bounded and uniformly continuous on this compact by Assumption 6.1(i). This gives that $L^\mu f$ converges uniformly to Lf.

Now, we want to check the tightness criterion of Aldous (see e.g. Theorem 4.5, p. 320 of Jacod and Shiryaev (1987)). To do so, we use the representation (6.11). Let $\bar{T} > 0$. We obtain for $t \in [0,\bar{T}]$, $|X_t^\mu| \le |X_0| + \frac{\|V\|_\infty}{\mu}N_{\bar{T}}^\mu + MN_{\bar{T}}$ and thus the tightness of $(\sup_{t\in[0,\bar{T}]}|X_t^\mu|)_{\mu\ge1}$ since $N_{\bar{T}}^\mu/\mu$ converges in probability to $\bar{T}$ as $\mu \to +\infty$. Besides, if S and S' denote two stopping times such that $S \le S' \le \min(S+\delta, \bar{T})$, we have $|X_{S'}^\mu - X_S^\mu| \le$

$\|V\|_\infty(N^\mu_{S+\delta}-N^\mu_S)/\mu + M(N_{S+\delta}-N_S)$. We get by the strong Markov property of Poisson processes

$$\mathbb{P}(|X^\mu_{S'}-X^\mu_S|>\eta) \le \mathbb{P}\left(\|V\|_\infty N^\mu_\delta/\mu > \eta/2\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(MN_\delta > \eta/2\right),$$

for any $\eta>0$. Let $\varepsilon>0$. We choose $\delta>0$ small enough such that $\|V\|_\infty\delta<\eta/2$ and $(1-e^{-\bar\lambda\delta})<\varepsilon/2$. Since N^μ_δ/μ converges in probability to δ, there is $\bar\mu$ such that for $\mu\ge\bar\mu$, $\mathbb{P}\left(\|V\|_\infty N^\mu_\delta/\mu>\eta/2\right)<\varepsilon/2$ and thus $\mathbb{P}(|X^\mu_{S'}-X^\mu_S|>\eta)<\varepsilon$.

We note $\mathbb{D}$ the space of càdlàg real functions on $[0,+\infty)$ endowed with the Skorokhod topology and $P^\mu(\mathrm{d}\omega)$ the probability law of $\{X^\mu_t\}_{t\ge0}$. We rewrite (A.1) as

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(f(\omega(t))-f(\omega(s))-\int_s^t L^\mu f(\omega(r))\,\mathrm{d}r\right)g(\omega(s_1),\cdots,\omega(s_n))P^\mu(\mathrm{d}\omega)=0.$$

The family $(P^\mu(\mathrm{d}\omega))_{\mu\ge1}$ is tight, and we denote by $P^\infty(\mathrm{d}\omega)$ a limit point of this family. For $r>0$, $\omega\in\mathbb{D}\mapsto\omega(r)$ is not continuous, but $\mathcal{T}_{P^\infty}=\{r>0, P^\infty(\omega(r)\neq\omega(r-))>0\}$ is a countable set such that for $r\in[0,+\infty)\setminus\mathcal{T}_{P^\infty}$, $\omega\mapsto\omega(r)$ is $P^\infty(\mathrm{d}\omega)$-a.s. continuous. Since f, Lf and g are bounded continuous by using Assumption 6.1 and 6.2(v), we get by using the uniform convergence of $L^\mu f$ to Lf and the weak convergence of a subsequence P^{μ_k} towards P^∞ that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(f(\omega(t))-f(\omega(s))-\int_s^t Lf(\omega(r))\,\mathrm{d}r\right)g(\omega(s_1),\cdots,\omega(s_n))P^\infty(\mathrm{d}\omega)=0, \qquad \text{(A.2)}$$

when $s_1,\dots,s_n,s,t$ are not in $\mathcal{T}_{P^\infty}$. By using the right-continuity of ω, the dominated convergence theorem gives that (A.2) still holds for any $0<s<t$, $n\in\mathbb{N}^*$, $s_1,\cdots,s_n\in(0,s)$. Thus, any limit point of $(P^\mu(\mathrm{d}\omega))_{\mu\ge1}$ is a solution of the martingale problem associated to $\{X_t\}_{t\ge0}$. By using Theorem II_{13} of Lepeltier and Marchal (1976), we have the uniqueness for the martingale problem, which gives the weak convergence of $\{X^\mu_t\}_{t\ge0}$ to $\{X_t\}_{t\ge0}$.

Now, from the representation (6.10), we easily get $\mathbb{P}(X_t=X_{t-})=1$ for any $t>0$. Thus, for all $t\ge0$, $\omega\in\mathbb{D}\mapsto\omega(t)$ is P^∞-a.s. continuous, and for any bounded continuous function $h:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$, we have $\int_{\mathbb{D}}h(\omega(t))P^\mu(\mathrm{d}\omega)\underset{\mu\to+\infty}{\to}\int_{\mathbb{D}}h(\omega(t))P^\infty(\mathrm{d}\omega)$. □

Acknowledgements

This research benefited from the support of the "Chaire Risques Financiers", Fondation du Risque, the French National Research Agency under the program ANR-12-BS01-0019 (STAB)

References

Alfonsi A., Jourdain B., and Kohatsu-Higa A., (2014) *Pathwise optimal transport bounds between a one-dimensional diffusion and its Euler scheme.* The Annals of Applied Probability 24(3): 1049-1080.

Alfonsi A., Jourdain B., and Kohatsu-Higa A., (2015) *Optimal transport bounds between the time-marginals of a multidimensional diffusion and its Euler scheme.* Electron. J. Probab. 20 no. 70.

Ambrosio L., Gigli N., and Savaré G., (2008) *Gradient flows: in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures.* Springer Science & Business Media.

Bardet J.-B., Christen A., Guillin A., Malrieu F., and Zitt P.-A., (2013) *Total variation estimates for the TCP process.* Electron. J. Probab. 18 no. 10.

Bolley F., Gentil Y., and Guillin A., (2012) *Convergence to equilibrium in Wasserstein distance for Fokker-Planck equations.* J. Funct. Anal. 263(8): 2430-2457.

Bolley F., Gentil Y., and Guillin A., (2013) *Uniform convergence to equilibrium for granular media.* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 208(2): 429-445.

Chafaï D., and Joulin A., (2007) *Intertwining and commutation relations for birth-death processes.* Bernoulli 19(5A):1855-1879.

Eberle, A., (2016) *Reflection couplings and contraction rates for diffusions.* Probab. Theory Related Fields 166(3-4):851-886.

Ethier S. N., and Kurtz T. G., (2009) *Markov processes: characterization and convergence.* John Wiley & Sons, Vol. 282.

Ikeda N., and Watanabe S., (1989) *Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes.* Second edition. North-Holland Mathematical Library, 24.

Jacod J., and Shiryaev A. N., (1987) *Limit theorems for stochastic processes.* Springer-Verlag, Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 288.

Joulin A., (2007) *Poisson-type deviation inequalities for curved continuous-time Markov chains.* Bernoulli 13(3):782-798.

Joulin A., (2009) *A new Poisson-type deviation inequality for Markov jump processes with positive Wasserstein curvature.* Bernoulli 15(2):532-549.

Lepeltier J.-P., and Marchal B., (1976) *Problème des martingales et équations différentielles stochastiques associées à un opérateur intégro-différentiel.* Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. B (N.S.) 12(1): 43-103.

Luo D., and Wang J., (2016a) *Exponential convergence in L^p-Wasserstein distance for diffusion processes without uniformly dissipative drift.* Math. Nach. 289(14-15):1090-1926.

Luo D., and Wang J., (2016b) *Refined Basic Couplings and Wasserstein-type Distances for SDEs with Lévy noises.* Preprint arXiv:1604.07206.

Rachev S. T., and Rüschendorf L., (1998) *Mass Transportation Problems: Volume I: Theory.* Springer Science & Business Media.

von Renesse M.-K., and Sturm K.-T., (2005) *Transport inequalities, gradient estimates, entropy, and Ricci curvature.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 58(7):923-940.

Revuz D., and Yor M., (1999) *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion.* Springer Science & Business Media, Vol. 293.

Villani C., (2008) *Optimal transport: old and new.* Springer Science & Business Media, Vol. 338.

Wang J., (2016) *L^p-Wasserstein distance for stochastic differential equations driven by Lévy processes.* Bernoulli 22(3):1598-1616.

Wang F.-Y., (2016) *Exponential Contraction in Wasserstein Distance for Diffusion Semigroups with Negative Curvature.* Preprint arXiv 1603.05749.